Research Paper Computational Methods for Differential Equations http://cmde.tabrizu.ac.ir Vol. *, No. *, *, pp. 1-19 DOI:10.22034/cmde.2024.61871.2696



An accurate finite-difference scheme for the numerical solution of a fractional differential equation

Aniruddha Seal and Srinivasan Natesan*

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Guwahati - 781039, India.

Abstract

In this article, a steady-state fractional order boundary-value problem is considered with a fractional convection term. The highest-order derivative term involves a mixed-fractional derivative which appears as a combination of a first-order classical derivative and Caputo fractional derivative. We propose an L1-scheme over a uniform mesh for the numerical solution of the fractional differential equation. With the help of a properly chosen barrier function, we discuss error analysis and prove that the proposed method converges with almost first-order. The proposed scheme is also applied on a semilinear fractional differential equation. Numerical experiments are presented to validate the proposed method.

Keywords. Mixed-fractional derivative, Fractional Differential Equation, Fractional-Convection Term, L1-method, Discrete Comparison Principle, Stability, Convergence.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 34A08, 65L10, 65L12, 65L20.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fractional differential equations (FDEs) are the generalized version of classical differential equations that include arbitrary (or non-integer) order derivatives. It has attracted many researchers for the past few years because of its applications in various fields of science and engineering such as plasma physics [7], viscoelasticity [3], Lévy process [10], finance [19], etc.

In this manuscript, we consider a steady-state fractional-convection-diffusion type two-point boundary-value problem with a reaction term:

$$\begin{cases} -D(D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u(x)) + a(x)D_C^{\beta}u(x) + r(x)u(x) = f(x), & x \in \Omega = (0,1), \\ D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u(0) = 0, & u(1) + \sigma D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u(1) = \gamma, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where $0 < \alpha - \beta < \beta \le 1 < \alpha < 2$ and D = d/dx represents the first-order classical derivative operator with respect to x. Given functions $a, r, f \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega})$ and $\sigma(\ge 0), \gamma$ are constants.

The mixed-fractional differential operator $D(D_C^{\alpha-\beta})$, considered in this paper is the combination of the first-order classical derivative operator and the $(\alpha - \beta)^{th}$ order Caputo derivative operator. This type of mixed-fractional derivative was first introduced by Patie-Simmon [17] in the study of asymmetric α -stable Lévy processes and they used the derivative operator as the infinitesimal generator of the spectrally positive (resp. spectrally negative) α -stable Lévy process reflected at its running supremum. In [27], this mixed-fractional derivative is termed as a conservative Caputo derivative. Gracia et al. [8] proposed a finite difference scheme for the steady-state diffusion-convectionreaction type fractional differential equation containing this type of mixed-fractional derivative with $\beta = 1$ and they named it as Riemann-Liouville-Caputo (RLC) derivative. Also Gracia and Stynes have solved the time-dependent parabolic problem in [9], containing RLC type spatial fractional derivative, numerically by a finite difference scheme.

Received: 2 June 2024 ; Accepted: 06 October 2024.

^{*} Corresponding author. Email:natesan@iitg.ac.in .

Fractional-convection term was first studied by Li et. al. [14] in the context of the failure of convection process to obey the power-law distribution in the meantime. This concept of fractional-convection term has found applications in various fields such as porous media flow, where non-local transport phenomena are prevalent, and in biological systems, where anomalous diffusion behaviour is observed. The fractional-convection term allows for a more accurate description of these complex transport processes.

For all $x \in (0, 1]$, the Caputo fractional derivative with order $\delta \in (0, 1)$ of sufficiently smooth functions is defined [2, 13] by

$$D_C^{\delta} u(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\delta)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{-\delta} u'(t) dt,$$

where u'(t) is the first-order classical derivative of u(t).

Following [8, 9, 17], the mixed-fractional derivative whose order lying in (1, 2), defined by

$$D(D_C^{\alpha-\beta}) u(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha+\beta)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\beta-\alpha} u'(t) dt,$$

provided $u' \in A^2(\overline{\Omega})$ where the space $A^2(\overline{\Omega})$ is defined by

 $A^{2}(\overline{\Omega}) = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(\overline{\Omega}) : g' \text{ is absolutely continuous on } \overline{\Omega} \right\}.$

Many a times, the existence of solution to a differential equation does not imply one can find the exact solution. To overcome this difficulty, researchers have developed various types of numerical methods. Stynes and Gracia [22] have used L1-method, based on finite difference scheme to solve a Caputo type FDE. Zhang [29] has applied finite difference method to solve fractional order partial differential equation (PDE). Seal and Natesan [20] have studied the error estimate of second-order spline method to solve a fractional boundary value problem with non local boundary conditions.

Ervin and Roop [5] applied finite element method (FEM) to solve fractional advection-dispersion equation. Also, Ford et al. [6] used a fully discrete scheme by using FEM over a time fractional PDE which includes a Riemann-Liouville type time fractional derivative. Then a modified version of FEM called weak-Galerkin finite element method (WG-FEM) has attracted some researchers. In [28], Wang et al. established a scheme based on WG-FEM for solving a class of generalized time dependent fractional Burger's equation. Seal et. al. [21] have applied a dimensional-splitting WG-FEM to solve a 2D time-fractional diffusion equation, and discussed stability and error analysis of their proposed scheme.

Some researchers were interested in applying meshless method for solving FDEs. Mardani et al. [16] solved a time fractional advection-diffusion equation with a meshless method based on moving least square method. Also a meshless method in reproducing kernel space was used by Du et al. [4] to solve an advection-diffusion equation which includes variable order Caputo type time fractional derivative.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first article that studies the model problem defined by equation (1.1), incorporating a fractional convection term, and solved by using the well-known L1-method. This type of boundary value problem, presented in (1.1) represents a steady-state scenario, where the goal is to model systems that have reached equilibrium or exhibit time-independent behavior. In engineering, such steady-state models are crucial for understanding the long-term behavior of materials and structures under constant loads, especially when those materials exhibit fractional viscoelasticity or anomalous diffusion. Also, this formulation is essential for steady-state wave propagation problems in media with non-local effects or memory, capturing the system's equilibrium state after transient dynamics have subsided. In control systems, the steady-state formulation is employed to design controllers that ensure the system reaches and maintains a desired equilibrium state, even in the presence of complex fractional dynamics. Hence, the steady-state fractional boundary value problem is pivotal for understanding long-term and equilibrium behavior in various applied domains. Further, we study the stability of the proposed numerical scheme and derive the error estimate by using a discrete barrier function. Also, we exert the proposed scheme for a semilinear FDE. To validate the theoretical error estimate and order of convergence, some numerical experiments are carried out.

This paper is organized in the following manner: Section 2 discusses some useful results, properties as well as the existence and uniqueness theorem of the given model problem. Section 3. starts with the discretization of the model



problem which is followed by the discrete comparison principle. In Section 4, the truncation error bound and the convergence of the computed solution to the exact solution with the help of properly chosen discrete barrier function are established. In Section 5, the proposed method is applied to solve a semilinear FDE. Section 6 presents numerical results, followed by conclusions in Section 7.

Notation: C represents a generic constant that takes different positive values according to its presence in required place. $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ is maximum norm on $\overline{\Omega}$. $C^k(\overline{\Omega})$ is the space of k times continuously differentiable functions defined on $\overline{\Omega}$.

2. Preliminary Results and Properties

This section starts with an important lemma which shows the equivalency between the first-order classical derivative and $(\alpha - \beta)^{th}$ order Caputo derivative at the boundary condition for x = 0.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose the functions $a, r, f \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ along with the presence of existence of $D(D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u(x))$ where u(x) is the solution of the model problem (1.1). Then the left-side boundary condition is equivalent to u'(0) = 0.

Proof. This can be proved in the similar way as given in [8, Lemma 2.1].

Next, we describe the reason behind the choice of the left boundary condition as $D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u(0) = 0$ for our problem by using the Sumudu Transform.

2.1. Necessity of the left boundary condition. We check the requirement of the left boundary condition given in the FDE (1.1) by using the Sumudu transform [11] in the both sides of (1.1).

Let us consider a special case of (1.1) such as:

$$-D(D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u(x)) + aD_C^{\beta}u(x) + ru(x) = f(x), \quad \text{on } \Omega,$$
(2.1)

where the coefficients a and r are considered as constants. The Sumulu transform of $D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u(x)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{S}\left[D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u(x);p\right] = p^{-(\alpha-\beta)}\mathcal{S}[u;p] - p^{-(\alpha-\beta)}u(0).$$

And, the Sumudu transform of the first-order derivative of the mixed-fractional derivative $D\left(D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u(x)\right)$ is

$$\mathcal{S}\left[D\left(D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u(x)\right);p\right] = \frac{\mathcal{S}[u;p]}{p^{\alpha-\beta+1}} - \frac{u(0)}{p^{\alpha-\beta+1}} - \frac{D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u(0)}{p}$$

Thus, applying the Sumudu transform on the both sides of (2.1), one gets

$$-\frac{\mathcal{S}[u;p]}{p^{\alpha-\beta+1}} + \frac{u(0)}{p^{\alpha-\beta+1}} + \frac{D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u(0)}{p} + a\left[\frac{\mathcal{S}[u;p]}{p^{\beta}} - \frac{y(0)}{p^{\beta}}\right] + r\mathcal{S}[u;p] = \mathcal{S}[f;p],$$

which implies

$$S[u;p] = u(0) + \frac{D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u(0)}{p(p^{-(\alpha-\beta+1)} - ap^{-\beta} - r)} + \frac{r \, u(0)}{p^{-(\alpha-\beta+1)} - ap^{-\beta} - r} - \frac{S[f;p]}{p^{-(\alpha-\beta+1)} - ap^{-\beta} - r}.$$
(2.2)

Now we state the following result [1]

$$\mathcal{S}^{-1}\left\{\frac{u^{-\rho}}{u^{-\alpha}+au^{-\beta}+b}\right\} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-a)^n x^{(\alpha-\beta)n+\alpha-\rho} E^{n+1}_{\alpha,\alpha+(\alpha-\beta)n-\rho+1}(-bx^{\alpha}),$$

where $E^{\eta}_{\zeta,\delta}$ is the three-parameter Mittag-Leffler function defined by

$$E^{\eta}_{\zeta,\delta}(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\eta)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\eta+k) z^k}{k! \Gamma(\zeta k+\delta)}, \quad \text{for} \quad \eta, \zeta, \delta, z \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text{with} \quad \text{Re}(\zeta) > 0.$$

С	М
D	E

Therefore, applying the inverse Sumulu transform on the both sides of (2.2) and using the above result, one can obtain

$$u(x) = \Psi(x)D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u(0) + u(0)(1+r\Phi(x)) - f * \Phi(x),$$
(2.3)

where

$$\Psi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n x^{(\alpha - 2\beta + 1)n + \alpha - \beta} E_{\alpha - \beta + 1, \alpha - \beta + 1 + (\alpha - 2\beta + 1)n}^{n+1} (rx^{\alpha - \beta + 1})$$

and

$$\Phi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n x^{(\alpha-2\beta+1)n+\alpha-\beta+1} E_{\alpha-\beta+1,\alpha-\beta+2+(\alpha-2\beta+1)n}^{n+1} (rx^{\alpha-\beta+1}).$$

From (2.3), one can judge that the solution is only continuous and a troublesome singularity exists in the solution u at x = 0 when $D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u(0) \neq 0$. Thus, for the solution to be in $\mathcal{C}^1(\overline{\Omega})$, the left boundary condition should be $D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u(0) = 0$.

Remark 2.2. If we consider the reaction coefficient r = 0 and the function f(x) is taken to be constant in the equation (2.1), then the solution will be

$$u(x) = u(0) + D_C^{\alpha-\beta} u(0) x^{\alpha-\beta} E_{1+\alpha-2\beta, \alpha-\beta+1} \left(a x^{\alpha+1-2\beta} \right) -f x^{\alpha-\beta+1} E_{1+\alpha-2\beta, \alpha-\beta+2} \left(a x^{\alpha+1-2\beta} \right), \qquad (2.4)$$

where $E_{\zeta,\delta}$ is the two-parameter Mittag-Leffler function defined by

$$E_{\zeta,\delta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\zeta k + \delta)}, \quad \text{for} \quad \zeta, \delta, z \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text{with} \quad \text{Re}(\zeta) > 0.$$

Remark 2.3. The solutions given by (2.3) and (2.4) include y(0), which can be calculated using the right hand boundary condition due to the continuity of the solution in the domain $\overline{\Omega}$.

Remark 2.4. For $\beta = 1$, the choice of the left boundary condition for the existence of continuously differentiable solution has been shown in [8, Subsec 2.1.] by considering a special case with constant coefficient and constant function f along with use of the Laplace transform in the corresponding model problem.

Let us consider the space $\mathcal{C}^{k,\eta}(0,1]$, for each positive integer k and $-\infty < \eta < 1$, contains functions $y \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega})$ such that the functions $y \in \mathcal{C}^k(0,1]$ and satisfy the bounds

$$|y^{(i)}(x)| \leq \begin{cases} C, & \text{if } i < 1 - \eta, \\ C(1 + |\ln(x)|), & \text{if } i = 1 - \eta, \\ Cx^{1 - \eta - i}, & \text{if } i > 1 - \eta, \end{cases}$$
(2.5)

for $x \in (0, 1]$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

For our problem (1.1), the result from [18, Theorem 2.1] gives the following assumption and theorem for the existence and uniqueness of the solution.

Assumption 2.5. For $f \equiv 0$ and $\gamma \equiv 0$, the FDE (1.1) has the trivial solution $y \equiv 0$.

Theorem 2.6. Let $a, r, f \in \mathcal{C}^{k,\eta}(0,1]$ for some integer $k \geq 2$ and some $\eta \in (-\infty,1)$. Then the FDE (1.1) has a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{C}^1(\overline{\Omega})$ with $D(D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u) \in \mathcal{C}^{k,\lambda}(0,1]$ where $\lambda := \max\{\eta, 2\beta - \alpha\}$

The bound of the derivatives of the solution u(x) of the model problem (1.1) by summoning the idea from [22, Corollary 3.1], [8, Corollary 2.1] can be given as



Corollary 2.7. Let $a, r, f \in \mathcal{C}^{k,\eta}(0,1]$ for some positive integer k and some $\eta \in (-\infty,1)$ with $\eta \leq 2\beta - \alpha$. Then from Theorem 2.6, the FDE (1.1) has a unique solution u where $u \in C^{k+1}(0,1]$ and there exists a constant C such that the solution u satisfies

$$\left| u^{(i)}(x) \right| \le \begin{cases} C, & \text{for } i = 0, \\ Cx^{\alpha - \beta + 1 - i}, & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, k + 1 & \text{and } x \in (0, 1]. \end{cases}$$
(2.6)

Proof. It can be proved in the same way given in [8, Corollary 2.1.].

Remark 2.8. Gracia et al. proved a similar kind of bound in [8, Corollary 2.1.] but with $\beta = 1$ and different boundary condition at x = 1.

3. DISCRETIZATION AND COMPARISON PRINCIPLE

This section is addressed to study the numerical technique to discretize the given model problem (1.1) and to establish the discrete comparison principle for the proposed method.

Let N be a positive integer. We employ a uniform mesh characterized by a mesh length h = 1/N and mesh points $x_j = jh = j/N$ to discretize the domain $\overline{\Omega}$, where $j = 0, 1, \dots, N$. Then the discretized form of (1.1) is:

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } \{U_j\}_{j=0}^N \text{ such that} \\ L_N U_j := -D^+ (D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta} U_j) + a_j D_{C,L1}^{\beta} U_j + r_j U_j = f_j, & \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, N-1, \\ -D^+ U_0 = 0, \quad U_N + \sigma D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta} U_N = \gamma, \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

where $a_j := a(x_j)$ and similar expression for r_j and f_j also, while $D^+U_j = (U_{j+1} - U_j)/h$ denotes the standard forward difference formula. Here $D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta}U_j$ and $D_{C,L1}^{\beta}U_j$, the L1- discretization of $D_C^{\alpha-\beta}y(x_j)$ and $D_C^{\beta}y(x_j)$ respectively, are given by

$$D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta}U_j := \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha+\beta)} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} (x_j-t)^{\beta-\alpha} \frac{U_{k+1}-U_k}{h} dt$$

= $\frac{h^{\beta-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha+\beta)} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} (U_{k+1}-U_k) d_{j-k}, \quad j=1,2,\ldots,N,$ (3.2)

where

$$d_k = \begin{cases} k^{1-\alpha+\beta} - (k-1)^{1-\alpha+\beta}, & \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, N, \\ 0, & \text{for } k \le 0, \end{cases}$$
(3.3)

and

$$D_{C,L1}^{\beta}U_j = \frac{h^{-\beta}}{\Gamma(2-\beta)} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \left(U_{k+1} - U_k\right) b_{j-k},\tag{3.4}$$

where

$$b_k = \begin{cases} k^{1-\beta} - (k-1)^{1-\beta}, & \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, N, \\ 0, & \text{for } k \le 0. \end{cases}$$
(3.5)

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -D^{+}(D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta}U_{j}) &= -\frac{D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta}U_{j+1} - D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta}U_{j}}{h} \\ &= -\frac{h^{-(1+\alpha-\beta)}}{\Gamma(2+\beta-\alpha)} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{j} (U_{k+1} - U_{k}) \, d_{j-k+1} - \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} (U_{k+1} - U_{k}) \, d_{j-k} \right] \\ &= -\frac{h^{-(1+\alpha-\beta)}}{\Gamma(2+\beta-\alpha)} \left[U_{0}(d_{j} - d_{j+1}) + \sum_{k=1}^{j} (d_{j-k+2} - 2d_{j-k+1} + d_{j-k})U_{k} + U_{j+1}d_{1} \right], \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots N - 1$.

Lemma 3.1. The following relations hold for the coefficients d_j and b_j [8, Sect. 3],

(i)
$$d_{k+1} < d_k$$
 and $b_{k+1} < b_k$, for all integers $k \ge 1$,
(ii) $d_{i-k+2} - 2d_{i-k+1} + d_{i-k} > 0$, for $1 \le i \le N - 1$.
(3.6)

$$and \quad k \in \{1, \dots, j-1, j+1\}.$$
(3.7)

We next discuss the discrete comparison principle that plays an important role to show the convergence of computed solution to the exact solution.

3.1. Discrete Comparison Principle.

Lemma 3.2. Assume that the coefficients in our problem (1.1) satisfy (i) $a, r, f \in C^{k,\eta}(0,1] \subset C(\overline{\Omega})$ and (ii) $r(x) \ge 0$ for $x \in \overline{\Omega}$.

Let us consider a mesh function $\{Z_j\}_{j=0}^N$ that satisfies

$$-D^+Z_0 \ge 0, \quad Z_N + \sigma D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta}Z_N \ge 0 \quad and \quad L_NZ_j \ge 0, \quad for \ j = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$$

Let the mesh width h satisfies

$$h^{1+\alpha-2\beta} < E(\alpha,\beta) \min\left\{ \left(\frac{d_j - d_{j+1}}{b_j}\right)_{j=1}^{N-1}, \left(\frac{d_{j+2} - 2d_{j+1} + d_j}{b_j - b_{j+1}}\right)_{j=1}^{N-2} \right\},\tag{3.8}$$

where $E(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\Gamma(2-\beta)}{\|a\|_{\infty} \Gamma(2-\alpha+\beta)}$. Then $Z_j \ge 0$, for $j = 0, 1, \dots, N$.

Proof. It can be observed from (3.1) that after some steps one can obtain

$$Z_{0}\left[\frac{d_{j+1}-d_{j}}{h^{\alpha-\beta+1}\Gamma(2-\alpha+\beta)}-\frac{a_{j}b_{j}}{h^{\beta}\Gamma(2-\beta)}\right]+\sum_{k=1}^{j+1}\left[\frac{-(d_{j-k+2}-2d_{j-k+1}+d_{j-k})}{h^{\alpha-\beta+1}\Gamma(2-\alpha+\beta)}+\frac{a_{j}(b_{j-k+1}-b_{j-k})}{h^{\beta}\Gamma(2-\beta)}\right]Z_{k}+r_{j}Z_{j}=f_{j}, \text{ where } j=1,2,\ldots N-1.$$

Let $P = (p_{j,k})_{\substack{j,k=0\\j,k=0}}^N$ be the $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ matrix associated to the discretization (3.1). Then we have a linear system $\overrightarrow{PZ} = \overrightarrow{f}$ where $\overrightarrow{Z} = (Z_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_N)$ and $\overrightarrow{f} = (f_0, f_1, \dots, f_N)$, with $f_0 = 0$ and $f_N = \gamma$. By the hypothesis

$$P\vec{Z} \ge 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Entries of the 0^{th} row of the matrix P are



For $j = 1, 2, \ldots N - 1$, the entries of j^{th} row are

$$p_{j,0} = \frac{d_{j+1} - d_j}{h^{\alpha - \beta + 1} \Gamma(2 - \alpha + \beta)} - \frac{a_j b_j}{h^{\beta} \Gamma(2 - \beta)},$$
(3.10)

$$p_{j,k} = \frac{-(d_{j-k+2} - 2d_{j-k+1} + d_{j-k})}{h^{\alpha - \beta + 1}\Gamma(2 - \alpha + \beta)} + \frac{a_j(b_{j-k+1} - b_{j-k})}{h^{\beta}\Gamma(2 - \beta)},$$

for
$$k = 1, 2, \dots, j - 1, j + 1,$$
 (3.11)

$$p_{j,j} = \frac{2a_1 - a_2}{h^{\alpha - \beta + 1}\Gamma(2 - \alpha + \beta)} + a_j \frac{b_1}{h^{\beta}\Gamma(2 - \beta)} + r_j, \qquad (3.12)$$

$$p_{j,k} = 0, \text{ for } k = j+2, j+3, \dots, N.$$
 (3.13)

The N^{th} row of the matrix P is

$$p_{N,0} = -\sigma M d_N, \quad p_{N,k} = \sigma M (d_{N-k+1} - d_{N-k}), \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2..., N-1,$$

$$p_{N,N} = -1 + \sigma M d_1, \qquad (3.14)$$

where $M = h^{\beta-\alpha}/\Gamma(2-\alpha+\beta)$. The entries given in (3.14) satisfy $p_{N,0} < 0$, $p_{N,k} < 0$ and $p_{N,N} > 0$. We now prove $P^{-1} > 0$, by considering two cases for the different signs of the coefficient function a(x).

First, considering the case $a \ge 0$ and recalling Lemma 3.1, one can easily obtain that the off-diagonal entries of the matrix P are non-positive and the diagonal entries are strictly positive i.e. $p_{j,k} \leq 0$ for $k = 0, 1, \ldots, j-1, j+1, \ldots, N$ and $p_{j,j} > 0$ where $0 \le j \le N$. Now, include the case a < 0. Denote $\mu = \Gamma(2 - \beta)/\Gamma(2 - \alpha + \beta)$. For j = 1, 2, ..., N - 1, one can rewrite (3.10) as

$$p_{j,0} = -\frac{d_j - d_{j+1}}{h^{\alpha - \beta + 1} \Gamma(2 - \alpha + \beta)} + \frac{(-a_j)b_j}{h^{\beta} \Gamma(2 - \beta)} \\ = \frac{(-a_j)b_j}{h^{\alpha - \beta + 1} \Gamma(2 - \beta)} \left[h^{\alpha - 2\beta + 1} - \mu \frac{d_j - d_{j+1}}{(-a_j)b_j} \right] < 0,$$

because of the condition (3.8) on mesh width h.

From (3.11) one can write,

$$p_{j,k} = \frac{-(d_{j-k+2} - 2d_{j-k+1} + d_{j-k})}{h^{\alpha-\beta+1}\Gamma(2-\alpha+\beta)} - \frac{-(a_j)(b_{j-k+1} - b_{j-k})}{h^{\beta}\Gamma(2-\beta)} \\ = \frac{(-a_j)(b_{j-k} - b_{j-k+1})}{h^{\alpha-\beta+1}\Gamma(2-\beta)} \left[h^{\alpha-2\beta+1} - \mu \frac{d_{j-k+2} - 2d_{j-k+1} + d_{j-k}}{(-a_j)(b_{j-k} - b_{j-k+1})} \right].$$
(3.15)

For k = 1, 2..., j-1, one has $1 \le j-k \le j-1$ with j = 2, 3, ..., N-1. Thus, using (3.8) along with Lemma (3.1) it is clear to notice in (3.15) that $p_{j,k} < 0$ and for k = j+1, we have $p_{j,j+1} = -d_1/(h^{\alpha-\beta+1}\Gamma(2-\alpha+\beta)) < 0$, where $1 \le j \le N - 1.$

It remains to discuss the bound for the diagonal entries. The assumption $r_i > 0$ is already mentioned in the statement of the lemma. The sum of first two terms in (3.12) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{2d_1 - d_2}{h^{\alpha - \beta + 1}\Gamma(2 - \alpha + \beta)} - (-a_j)\frac{b_1}{h^{\beta}\Gamma(2 - \beta)}, \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1,$$

which shows that $p_{j,j} > 0$ owing to the mesh-condition (3.8) and the relation $2d_1 - d_2 > d_1 - d_2$.

Thus, the matrix P has positive diagonal entries and non-positive off-diagonal entries.

Now,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} |p_{0,k}| = \frac{1}{h} = |p_{0,0}|, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |p_{N,k}| = \sigma M d_1 < |p_{N,N}| \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\substack{k=0\\k \neq j}}^{N} |p_{j,k}| < |p_{j,j}|.$$

Consequently, P is a irreducibly diagonally dominant matrix and we have from [26, Corollary 3.20.], $P^{-1} > 0$. Thus, from (3.9), we get $\overrightarrow{Z} \ge 0$ and hence the result follows.

In the above lemma, we have discussed discrete comparison principle for L_N . Proceeding similarly like [8, Lemma 3.2.], one can prove the discrete comparison principle for $D_{C,L_1}^{\alpha-\beta}$.

4. Error Analysis

In this section, our aim is to estimate the error by identifying truncation errors and their associated bounds, along with study of the convergence of the computed solution towards the exact solution. Here $u(x_j)$ is the exact solution and U_j is the computed solution of the FDE (1.1) at the point $x_j, j = 0, 1, ..., N$.

4.1. Truncation Error. The truncation errors of the discretization (3.1) of the FDE (1.1) are given by

$$L_{N}(u(x_{j}) - U_{j}) = -D^{+}D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta}(u(x_{j}) - U_{j}) + a_{j}D_{C,L1}^{\beta}(u(x_{j}) - U_{j}) + r_{j}(u(x_{j}) - U_{j})$$

= $-(D^{+}D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta} - DD_{C}^{\alpha-\beta})u(x_{j}) + a_{j}(D_{C,L1}^{\beta} - D_{C}^{\beta})u(x_{j}),$
for $0 < x_{i} < 1$

for $0 < x_j < 1$,

and for the boundary conditions:

$$-D^+(u(x_0) - U_0) = \frac{u(0) - u(h)}{h},$$

and

$$[u(x_N) - U_N] + \sigma \left[D_C^{\alpha-\beta} u(x_N) - D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta} U_N \right] = \sigma \left[D_C^{\alpha-\beta} u(x_N) - D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta} U_N \right].$$

To establish the truncation error bound, we require the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. For all integers $j \ge 2$, there exists a constant C, independent of j, such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \left[(j-k)^{1-\beta} - (j-k-1)^{1-\beta} \right] k^{\alpha-\beta-1} \le C j^{\alpha-2\beta}.$$
(4.1)

201

Proof. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we apply the mean-value theorem on the function $f(x) = x^{1-\beta}$ and proceed like [22, Lemma 4.4.] to get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{[j/2]-1} \left[(j-k)^{1-\beta} - (j-k-1)^{1-\beta} \right] k^{\alpha-\beta-1} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{[j/2]-1} (1-\beta)(j-k-1)^{-\beta} k^{\alpha-\beta-1}$$
$$\leq C j^{-\beta} \sum_{k=1}^{[j/2]-1} k^{\alpha-\beta-1}.$$

Then, using the convergence-concept given in [23, Eqn. (5.9)] we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{[j/2]-1} \left[(j-k)^{1-\beta} - (j-k-1)^{1-\beta} \right] k^{\alpha-\beta-1} \leq Cj^{-2}j^{\alpha-2\beta+2} = Cj^{\alpha-2\beta},$$
(4.2)



and for the remaining terms

$$\sum_{k=[j/2]}^{j-1} \left[(j-k)^{1-\beta} - (j-k-1)^{1-\beta} \right] k^{\alpha-\beta-1} \\ \leq \left[\frac{j}{2} \right]^{\alpha-\beta-1} \sum_{k=[j/2]}^{j-1} \left[(j-k)^{1-\beta} - (j-k-1)^{1-\beta} \right] \\ \leq C j^{\alpha-2\beta}.$$
(4.3)

Thus, combining (4.2) and (4.3) we get the required result (4.1).

4.1.1. Truncation Error Bound.

Lemma 4.2. Let $a, r, f \in C^{k,\eta}(0,1]$ for some positive integer k with $\eta \leq 2\beta - \alpha$. Then we have the following bound for the truncation errors

$$(i) \quad |D^+(u(x_0) - U_0)| \le Ch^{\alpha - \beta},$$

$$(4.4)$$

(ii)
$$\left| \left[u(x_N) - U_N \right] + \sigma \left[D_C^{\alpha} \,^{\beta} u(x_N) - D_{C,L1}^{\alpha} U_N \right] \right|$$

$$\leq C \sigma h^{\min\{1 + \alpha - \beta, 2 - \alpha + \beta\}} \leq C \sigma h,$$
(4.5)

(*iii*)
$$|L_N(u(x_j) - U_j)| \le Chx_j^{-1}$$
, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$. (4.6)

Proof. Our assumption clears that the FDE (1.1) has a unique solution.

(i) From [8, Lemma 4.1.], using (2.6) we have

$$|D^{+}(u(x_{0}) - U_{0})| \leq \frac{1}{h} \left[\int_{0}^{h} \left(\int_{0}^{t} |u''(s)| \, ds \right) \, dt \right]$$
$$\leq Ch^{-1} \int_{0}^{h} t^{\alpha - \beta} \, dt$$
$$= Ch^{\alpha - \beta}. \tag{4.7}$$

Thus, $|D^+(u(x_0) - U_0)| \le Ch^{\alpha - \beta}$. (ii) One can write this truncation error term as

$$D_C^{\alpha-\beta} u(x_N) - D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta} U_N$$

= $\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha+\beta)} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} (x_N - s)^{\beta-\alpha} \left[u'(s) - \frac{U_{k+1} - U_k}{h} \right] ds$
= $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} t_{N,k},$

where

$$t_{N,k} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha+\beta)} \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} (x_N - s)^{\beta-\alpha} \left[u'(s) - \frac{U_{k+1} - U_k}{h} \right] \, ds.$$

According to the derivation given in [23] and using (2.6) one gets

$$|t_{N,0}| \le Ch^{1+\alpha-\beta}$$
 and $|t_{N,N-1}| \le Ch^{2-\alpha+\beta}$.



Now, for k = 1, 2, ..., N - 2 and through (2.6) we emulate the derivation in [9, 23] to get

$$|t_{N,k}| \leq Ch^2 \left(\max_{s \in [x_k, x_{k+1}]} |u''(s)| \right) \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} (x_N - s)^{\beta - \alpha - 1} ds$$

$$\leq Chk^{\alpha - \beta - 1} [N - (k+1)]^{\beta - \alpha - 1}.$$
(4.9)

Inviting the bounds, given in [9, 23] for our problem and using (2.6) we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{[N/2]-1} |t_{N,k}| \le Ch^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=[N/2]}^{N-2} |t_{N,k}| \le Ch^{2+\beta-\alpha}.$$
(4.10)

Then, calling these bounds all together to get

$$\sigma \left| \left[D_C^{\alpha-\beta} u(x_N) - D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta} U_N \right] \right| \le C\sigma h^{\min\{1+\alpha-\beta,2-\alpha+\beta\}} \le C\sigma h.$$

$$(4.11)$$

(iii) It remains to find the bound for $x \in \Omega$. Following the idea given in [8, Lemma 4.1.], we disintegrate the truncation error term for the mesh points $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{N-1}$, into three parts as follows:

$$L_{N}(u(x_{j}) - U_{j}) = -\left(D^{+}D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta} - D(D_{C}^{\alpha-\beta})\right)u(x_{j}) + a_{j}(D_{C,L1}^{\beta} - D_{C}^{\beta})u(x_{j})$$

$$= (D - D^{+})D_{C}^{\alpha-\beta}u(x_{j}) + D^{+}\left(D_{C}^{\alpha-\beta} - D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta}\right)u(x_{j})$$

$$+a_{j}\left(D_{C,L1}^{\beta} - D_{C}^{\beta}\right)u(x_{j}).$$
(4.12)

For the first two parts in (4.12), one can obtain with the similar derivation of [8, Lemma 4.1.] along with (2.6)

$$\left| \left(\frac{d}{dx} - D^+ \right) D_C^{\alpha - \beta} u(x_j) \right| \le Ch x_j^{\alpha - \beta - 1}, \tag{4.13}$$

and

$$\left| D^+ \left(D_C^{\alpha-\beta} - D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta} \right) u(x_j) \right| \le Chx_j^{-1}.$$

$$(4.14)$$

For the third part in (4.12), we follow the derivation of [22, Lemma 4.5.].

$$\left(D_C^{\beta} - D_{C,L1}^{\beta} \right) u(x_j) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \int_0^{x_j} (x_j - s)^{-\beta} u'(s) \, ds - \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} \frac{U_{k+1} - U_k}{h} (x_j - s)^{-\beta} \, ds = \tau_{j,0} + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \tau_{j,k}.$$

$$(4.15)$$

For j = 2, 3, ..., N - 1, using the mean-value theorem we get

$$\tau_{j,k} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} (x_j - s)^{-\beta} u'(s) \, ds - \frac{U_{k+1} - U_k}{h^{\beta} \Gamma(2-\beta)} \, d_{j-k}$$

$$= \frac{h^{1-\beta}}{\Gamma(2-\beta)} \left[u'(\eta) - \frac{U_{k+1} - U_k}{h} \right] d_{j-k}, \quad \eta \in (x_k, x_{k+1}).$$

Thus,

$$\left|u'(\eta) - \frac{U_{k+1} - U_k}{h}\right| \le Chx_k^{\alpha - \beta - 1}.$$
(4.16)

Hence, we have

$$|\tau_{j,k}| \le \frac{Ch^{1+\alpha-2\beta}}{\Gamma(2-\beta)} k^{\alpha-\beta-1} d_{j-k}.$$
(4.17)

Then,

$$\left|\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \tau_{j,k}\right| \le \frac{Ch^{1+\alpha-2\beta}}{\Gamma(2-\beta)} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} d_{j-k} k^{\alpha-\beta-1}.$$

Using Lemma 4.1 we get

$$\left|\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \tau_{j,k}\right| \le \frac{Ch^{1+\alpha-2\beta}}{\Gamma(2-\beta)} j^{\alpha-2\beta} \le Chx_j^{-(2\beta-\alpha)}.$$
(4.18)

We have

$$\left| \left(D_C^{\beta} - D_{C,L1}^{\beta} \right) u(x_j) \right| \le Ch x_j^{-(2\beta - \alpha)}, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots, N - 1.$$
(4.19)

For k = 0,

$$\tau_{j,0} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \int_{x_0}^{x_1} (x_j - s)^{-\beta} u'(s) \, ds - \frac{U_1 - U_0}{h^\beta \Gamma(2-\beta)} \, d_j.$$

Following accordingly to the derivation of [22, Lemma 4.5.], one gets

$$\left|\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)}\int_{x_0}^{x_1} (x_j-s)^{-\beta} u'(s)\,ds\right| \le Chx_{j-1}^{-\beta},\tag{4.20}$$

and

 $\left|\frac{U_1 - U_0}{h^{\beta} \Gamma(2 - \beta)} d_j\right| \le Ch x_{j-1}^{-\beta}, \quad \text{using the mean-value theorem.}$ (4.21)

Combining (4.20), (4.21) and using $x_j \leq 2x_{j-1}$ to obtain

$$|\tau_{j,0}| \leq C x_{j-1}^{-\beta} h^{\alpha-\beta} \leq C h x_{j}^{-\beta}, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots, N-1.$$

$$(4.22)$$

Finally, the bound for j = 1 will complete the bound of $\tau_{j,k}$.

$$|\tau_{1,0}| \le \left|\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \int_{x_0}^{x_1} (x_1-s)^{-\beta} u'(s) \, ds\right| + \left|\frac{U_1 - U_0}{h^{\beta} \Gamma(2-\beta)} \, d_1\right|$$

Simulating the derivation of [22, Lemma 4.5.], we get

$$\left|\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)}\int_{x_0}^{x_1} (x_1-s)^{-\beta} u'(s) \, ds\right| \leq \frac{C}{\Gamma(1-\beta)}\int_{x_0}^{x_1} (x_1-s)^{-\beta} s^{\alpha-\beta} \, ds$$

$$\leq Chx_1^{-(2\beta-\alpha)}, \tag{4.23}$$

and

$$\left|\frac{d_1}{h^{\beta}\Gamma(2-\beta)}\left(U_1-U_0\right)\right| \leq \frac{Cd_1h^{\alpha-\beta}}{h^{\beta}\Gamma(2-\beta)}h \leq Chx_1^{-(2\beta-\alpha)}.$$
(4.24)

Thus, (4.23) and (4.24) together yield

$$|\tau_{1,0}| \le Chx_1^{-(2\beta-\alpha)}.$$
 (4.25)

Putting all these inequalities (4.19)-(4.25) together, we obtain

$$\left| \left(D_C^{\beta} - D_{C,L1}^{\beta} \right) u(x_j) \right| \le Ch x_j^{-\beta} \le Ch x_j^{-1}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1.$$
(4.26)

We get the required bound (4.6) by adding the bounds (4.13)-(4.26).



The following theorem provides the bound of the error in discrete maximum norm.

Theorem 4.3. Let the solution u(x) of the problem (1.1) satisfies the discrete comparison principle (Lemma 3.2) as well as the truncation error bounds (4.4-4.6). Also assume the coefficients a(x), r(x) are chosen such that $a(x) \leq 0$ and $r(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. Then there exists a constant C such that

$$\max_{0 \le i \le N} |e_i| = \max_{0 \le i \le N} |u(x_i) - U_i| \le Ch |\ln h|^{\beta}.$$

Before proceeding towards the proof of above theorem, we shall enter into the discussion of discrete barrier function that will be used later in the proof of above theorem. We first consider a non-negative mesh function $\{\Theta_i\}_{i=0}^N$, which satisfies

$$|L_N(u(x_i) - U_i)| \le L_N \Theta_i, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1,$$
(4.27)

$$|-D^{+}(u(x_{0})-U_{0})| \leq -D^{+}\Theta_{0}, \qquad (4.28)$$

$$|(u(x_N) - U_N) + \sigma \left(D_C^{\alpha - \beta} u(x_N) - D_{C,L1}^{\alpha - \beta} U_N \right)| \le \Theta_N + \sigma D_{C,L1}^{\alpha - \beta} \Theta_N.$$

$$(4.29)$$

Then, use of discrete comparison principle over $\Theta_i \pm (u(x_i) - U_i)$, gives

 $|u(x_i) - U_i| \le \Theta_i$, for all $i \ge 0$.

This mesh function $\{\Theta_i\}_{i=0}^N$ is called the discrete barrier function.

Now we call a lemma from [8, Lemma 4.2.] which will be useful for the study of barrier function and error estimate. The following lemma is given in the suitable form for our problem.

Lemma 4.4. For a mesh function $\{G_i\}_{i=0}^N$ along with the condition $G_0 = 0$ and $G_i \leq G_{i+1}$, one has

$$D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta}G_i \ge \frac{G_i}{x_i^{\alpha-\beta}\Gamma(1-\alpha+\beta)}, \quad for \quad 1 \le i \le N-1$$

Proof. For the proof, one can follow [8, Lemma 4.2.].

We next define a non-negative mesh function [8, Eqn. 4.11] $\{M_i\}_{i=0}^N$ for a smooth construction of the suitable discrete barrier function for our problem, by

$$D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta}M_i = \frac{e^{|\ln h|^{1-\beta}}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha+\beta)} x_i^{|\ln h|^{-\beta}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N \quad \text{and} \quad M_0 = 0.$$
(4.30)

Following the derivation given in [8, Sect. 4.2.] one has

- (1) $M_1 = (1 \alpha + \beta)h^{\alpha \beta},$
- (2) M_i is a non-decreasing function for $i \ge 0$,
- (3) $0 \le M_i \le H_i$, i = 0, 1, ..., N, where the mesh function H_i plays the role of discrete barrier function for M_i , defined by

$$H_{i} = x_{i}^{\alpha - \beta + |\ln h|^{-\beta}} e^{|\ln h|^{1-\beta}}.$$

We now begin the proof of Theorem 4.3.

Proof. We start the proof with the consideration of two cases:

 $\sigma=0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma>0\,.$

Case-1 ($\sigma = 0$) Define a mesh function with the help of the mesh function M_i , defined in (4.30), by

$$\Theta_{i} = C_{1} h |\ln h|^{\beta} \left(e^{|\ln h|^{1-\beta}} - M_{i} \right), \quad \text{for} \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N.$$
(4.31)



Clearly one can obtain $0 \leq \Theta_i \leq C h |\ln h|^{\beta}$. Our aim is to show that Θ_i is a discrete barrier function of the error function e_i .

From the upper bound of M_i , it can be said that Θ_i attain the non-negative values for all *i*.

Proceeding similarly as given in [8, Theorem 4.1], we have at the left end point x = 0,

$$-D^{+}\Theta_{0} = C_{1}(1-\alpha+\beta)h^{\alpha-\beta}|\ln h|^{\beta}.$$

As the mesh function M_i is non-decreasing and $r(x) \ge 0$, so using the non-positive condition over the function a(x) we have

$$a_i D_{C,L1}^{\beta} \Theta_i + c_i \Theta_i = -a_i C_1 h \left| \ln h \right|^{\beta} D_{C,L1}^{\beta} M_i + c_i \Theta_i \ge 0.$$

Then for x_j , j = 1, 2, ..., N - 1, in the similar way given in [8] we have

$$L_{N}\Theta_{i} := -D^{+}(D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta}\Theta_{i}) + a_{i}D_{C,L1}^{\beta}\Theta_{i} + c_{i}\Theta_{i}$$

$$\geq C_{1}h |\ln h|^{\beta}D^{+}(D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta}M_{i})$$

$$\geq \frac{C_{1}h}{2\Gamma(1-\alpha+\beta)}x_{i}^{-1}.$$
(4.32)

Also $u(x_N) - U_N = 0 \leq \Theta_N$.

Then, combination of the above inequalities along with the discrete comparison principle imply that Θ_i is a discrete barrier function for the error $|u(x_i) - U_i|$ and hence the result follows for the case $\sigma = 0$.

Case-2 ($\sigma > 0$) At the point x_N ,

$$\Theta_N + \sigma D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta} \Theta_N = \Theta_N - C_1 \sigma h |\ln h|^{\beta} \frac{e^{|\ln h|^{1-\beta}}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha+\beta)} \\
\geq \frac{-C_1 \sigma h |\ln h|^{\beta} e^{|\ln h|^{1-\beta}}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha+\beta)}.$$
(4.33)

Thus, we can see that Θ_i is not the perfect choice for the case $\sigma > 0$ and hence some changes are required in the structure of the discrete barrier function.

We define the modified mesh function as

$$\widetilde{\Theta}_i = \Theta_i + \Upsilon_i,$$

where the construction of Υ_i is followed from [8] and defined by

$$\Upsilon_{i} = \begin{cases}
C_{2}h|\ln h|^{\beta} \left(\frac{h^{\alpha-\beta}}{\sigma} + \frac{2}{\Gamma(2-\alpha+\beta)} \right) e^{|\ln h|^{1-\beta}}, & \text{for } i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1, \\
C_{2}h|\ln h|^{\beta} \frac{2e^{|\ln h|^{1-\beta}}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha+\beta)}, & \text{for } i = N.
\end{cases}$$
(4.34)

At the point x_0 ,

$$-D^+\widetilde{\Theta}_0 = -D^+\Theta_0 - D^+\Upsilon_0 \ge C_1C_3\Gamma(2-\alpha+\beta)h^{\alpha-\beta}|\ln h|^{\beta}.$$

It is easy to calculate the following

(1) $D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta}\Upsilon_i = 0$, for i = 1, 2, ..., N-1, (2) $D^+(D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta}\Upsilon_i) = 0$, for i = 1, 2, ..., N-2, (3) $D_{C,L1}^{\beta}\Upsilon_i = 0$, for i = 1, 2, ..., N-1.



But at the point x_N ,

$$D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta}\Upsilon_{N} = \frac{1}{h^{\alpha-\beta}\Gamma(2-\alpha+\beta)} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (\Upsilon_{k+1}-\Upsilon_{k})b_{N-k}$$

$$= \frac{\Upsilon_{N}-\Upsilon_{N-1}}{h^{\alpha-\beta}\Gamma(2-\alpha+\beta)}b_{1}$$

$$= -\frac{C_{2}h|\ln h|^{\beta}h^{\alpha-\beta}}{\sigma h^{\alpha-\beta}\Gamma(2-\alpha+\beta)}e^{|\ln h|^{1-\beta}}$$

$$= -\frac{C_{2}h|\ln h|^{\beta}e^{|\ln h|^{1-\beta}}}{\sigma \Gamma(2-\alpha+\beta)} \leq 0.$$

$$\frac{-2\beta}{2-\beta}e^{|\ln h|^{\beta}}e^{|\ln h|^{1-\beta}} \leq 0.$$

$$0.$$

$$1 \leq i \leq N-1.$$

$$F_{i} = L_{N}\Theta_{i} + c_{i}\Upsilon_{i} \geq \frac{C_{1}h}{2\Gamma(1-\alpha+\beta)}x_{i}^{-1}.$$

Similarly one can have

$$D_{C,L1}^{\beta} \Upsilon_N = -\frac{C_2 h^{1+\alpha-2\beta} |\ln h|^{\beta}}{\sigma \Gamma(2-\beta)} e^{|\ln h|^{1-\beta}} \le 0.$$

Therefore,

$$-D^+(D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta}\Upsilon_{N-1}) \ge 0.$$

We next calculate $L_N \widetilde{\Theta}_i$, for $1 \le i \le N - 1$.

For $1 \le i \le N - 2$,

$$L_N \widetilde{\Theta}_i = L_N \Theta_i + L_N \Upsilon_i = L_N \Theta_i + c_i \Upsilon_i \ge \frac{C_1 h}{2\Gamma(1 - \alpha + \beta)} x_i$$

At $x = x_{N-1}$,

$$L_N \Theta_{N-1} = L_N \Theta_{N-1} + L_N \Upsilon_{N-1} \ge L_N \Theta_{N-1} + c_{N-1} \Theta_{N-1}.$$

Inserting the condition $r(x) \ge 0$, we get

$$L_N \widetilde{\Theta}_{N-1} \geq L_N \Theta_{N-1} \\ \geq \frac{C_1 h}{2\Gamma(1-\alpha+\beta)} x_{N-1}^{-1}.$$

$$(4.35)$$

Now

$$\Upsilon_N + \sigma D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta} \Upsilon_N = C_2 h |\ln h|^{\beta} \frac{2e^{|\ln h|^{1-\beta}}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha+\beta)} - \frac{\sigma C_2 h |\ln h|^{\beta} e^{|\ln h|^{1-\beta}}}{\sigma \Gamma(2-\alpha+\beta)}$$
$$= C_2 h |\ln h|^{\beta} \frac{e^{|\ln h|^{1-\beta}}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha+\beta)}.$$
(4.36)

Finally, at $x = x_N$,

$$\widetilde{\Theta}_{N} + \sigma D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta} \widetilde{\Theta}_{N} = \left(\Theta_{N} + \sigma D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta} \Theta_{N}\right) + \left(\Upsilon_{N} + \sigma D_{C,L1}^{\alpha-\beta} \Upsilon_{N}\right) \\
\geq h |\ln h|^{\beta} \left[\frac{C_{2}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha+\beta)} - \frac{C_{1}\sigma}{\Gamma(1-\alpha+\beta)}\right] e^{|\ln h|^{1-\beta}} \\
\geq Ch |\ln h|^{\beta}, \quad \text{as} \quad e^{|\ln h|^{1-\beta}} \geq 1,$$
(4.37)

where C_2 is chosen such a way that $\frac{C_2}{\Gamma(2-\alpha+\beta)} - \frac{C_1\sigma}{\Gamma(1-\alpha+\beta)} > C.$

Thus, $\tilde{\Theta}_i$ is the discrete barrier function of $|u(x_i) - U_i|$ in case of positive value of σ and hence the result follows. \Box



5. Semilinear Fractional Differential Equation and Quasilinearization Technique

In this section, we demonstrate the application of the proposed scheme to a semilinear FDE. Let us consider the following semilinear FDE:

$$\begin{cases} -D(D_C^{\alpha-\beta}v(x)) + a(x)D_C^{\beta}v(x) + \mathcal{G}(x,v(x)) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega = (0,1), \\ D_C^{\alpha-\beta}v(0) = 0, \quad v(1) + \sigma D_C^{\alpha-\beta}v(1) = \gamma. \end{cases}$$
(5.1)

Assuming the functions a(x) and $\mathcal{G}(x, v(x))$ are sufficiently smooth, the FDE (5.1) generally has a unique solution v(x).

To numerically solve (5.1), we employ the Newton's method of quasilinearization technique. This method generates a sequence $\{v^{(q)}\}_0^\infty$ as the solution with a suitable initial guess $v^{(0)}(x)$ for $q \ge 0$.

For each fixed non-negative integer q, we define $v^{(q+1)}$ to be the solution of the following linear FDE:

$$\begin{cases} -D(D_C^{\alpha-\beta}v^{(q+1)}(x)) + a(x)D_C^{\beta}v^{(q+1)}(x) + \mathfrak{r}^{(q)}(x)v^{(q+1)}(x) = \mathcal{F}^{(q)}(x), \ x \in \Omega, \\ D_C^{\alpha-\beta}v^{(q+1)}(0) = 0, \quad v^{(q+1)}(1) + \sigma D_C^{\alpha-\beta}v^{(q+1)}(1) = \gamma, \end{cases}$$
(5.2)

where $\mathfrak{r}^{(q)}(x)$ and $\mathcal{F}^{(q)}(x)$ are given by

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{r}^{(q)}(x) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial v}(x, v^{(q)}), \\ \mathcal{F}^{(q)}(x) = \mathfrak{r}^{(q)}(x)v^{(q)} - \mathcal{G}(x, v^{(q)}). \end{cases}$$

$$(5.3)$$

Therefore, for each fixed q, we utilize the proposed numerical scheme (3.1) to solve (5.2). To facilitate the Newton's quasilinearization process, we will implement this convergence criterion:

$$|v^{(q+1)}(x_i) - v^{(q)}(x_i)| \le tol, \quad x_i \in \overline{\Omega}, \quad q \ge 0.$$

For computational purpose, we set $tol = 10^{-7}$. (5.4)

6. NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

In this section, numerical examples are presented to affirm the theoretical results. The main motive is to check the error estimates and convergence rates for different values of α and β .

Example 6.1. Consider the following FDE with constant coefficient:

$$\begin{cases} -D(D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u(x)) - 0.5D_C^{\beta}u(x) = 1, & 0 < \alpha - \beta < \beta \le 1 < \alpha < 2, & x \in \Omega, \\ D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u(0) = 0, & u(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(6.1)

Exact solution can be found by using (2.4).

The maximum error and the associated convergence orders are determined by,

$$M_N = \max_{0 \le j \le N} |U_j - u(x_j)|$$
 and $C_N = \log_2\left(\frac{M_N}{M_{2N}}\right)$,

respectively.

The maximum pointwise error and the corresponding first-order convergence of the numerical results of Example 6.1 are presented in Table 1 for $\beta = 0.8$. The results, presented in Table 2, demonstrate that Equation 6.1 yields better results than those in [8, Table 1] for $\beta = 1$. Additionally, for $\beta = 0.8$ and $\alpha = 1.4$, Figure 1a illustrates the comparison between the exact and computed solutions with N = 256, while the log-log plot is shown in Figure 1b.

Example 6.2. Consider the following FDE with variable-coefficient:

$$\begin{cases} -D(D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u(x)) - (1+x^2)D_C^{\beta}u(x) + e^{-x}u(x) = x, \quad x \in \Omega, \\ D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u(0) = 0, \ u(1) + D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u(1) = 1, \end{cases}$$
(6.2)

С	м	
D	E	



(A) Solutions for $\alpha = 1.4$, $\beta = 0.8$, N = 256.

(B) Log-log plot for various values of α and β .

FIGURE 1. Figures corresponding to the Example 6.1.

TABLE 1. Maximum errors and orders of convergence for $\beta = 0.8$ of the Example 6.1.

$\beta = 0.8$	$N = 2^{6}$	$N = 2^{7}$	$N = 2^{8}$	$N = 2^9$	$N = 2^{10}$	$N = 2^{11}$
$\alpha = 1.1$	8.7367e-03	4.4137e-03	2.2265e-03	1.1218e-03	5.6459e-04	2.8390e-04
C_N	0.9851	0.9872	0.9890	0.9905	0.9918	
$\alpha = 1.2$	9.0831e-03	4.5940e-03	2.3193e-03	1.1691e-03	5.8862e-04	2.9605e-04
C_N	0.9834	0.9861	0.9882	0.9900	0.9915	
$\alpha = 1.3$	9.3833e-03	4.7562e-03	2.4050e-03	1.2137e-03	6.1157e-04	3.0776e-04
C_N	0.9803	0.9838	0.9866	0.9889	0.9907	
$\alpha = 1.4$	9.5678e-03	4.8683e-03	2.4691e-03	1.2490e-03	6.3049e-04	3.1773e-04
C_N	0.9748	0.9794	0.9832	0.9862	0.9887	
$\alpha = 1.5$	9.5215e-03	4.8724e-03	2.4833e-03	1.2614e-03	6.3898e-04	3.2297e-04
C_N	0.9666	0.9724	0.9772	0.9812	0.9844	

where $0 < \alpha - \beta < \beta < 1 < \alpha < 2$.

The double-mesh principle [8] is used to derive the order of convergence as exact solution is obscured to us. From the scheme (3.1), we obtain two solutions $\{U_j\}_{j=0}^N$ and $\{\tilde{U}_j\}_{j=0}^{2N}$ using the uniform meshes $\{x_j\}_{j=0}^N$ and $\{\tilde{x}_j\}_{j=0}^{2N}$, where $x_j = \tilde{x}_{2j}$ for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, N$.

The errors computed using the double-mesh principle, along with the corresponding orders of convergence, are evaluated by

$$E_N = \max_{0 \le j \le N} |U_j - \widetilde{U}_{2j}|$$
 and $\widetilde{C}_N = \log_2\left(\frac{E_N}{E_{2N}}\right)$

respectively.

Figure 2a depicts the numerical solutions for $\beta = 0.8$, $\alpha = 1.4$ and $\beta = 1$, $\alpha = 1.4$ with N = 128. Log-log plots are displayed for various values of α , β in Figure 2b.

Tables 3-5 cover the maximum differences and orders of convergence, obtained by using double-mesh principle for $\beta = 0.8, 0.975$ and 1 respectively. The results in these tables demonstrate the first-order convergence.



$\beta = 1$	$N = 2^{6}$	$N = 2^{7}$	$N = 2^{8}$	$N = 2^{9}$	$N = 2^{10}$	$N = 2^{11}$
$\alpha = 1.1$	7.4982e-03	3.5549e-03	1.7734e-03	8.8668e-04	4.4334e-04	2.2167e-04
C_N	1.0767	1.0033	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	
$\alpha = 1.2$	7.2712e-03	3.6359e-03	1.8181e-03	9.0913e-04	4.5459e-04	2.2730e-04
C_N	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	1.0000	
$\alpha = 1.3$	7.4703e-03	3.7378e-03	1.8699e-03	9.3527e-04	4.6775e-04	2.3391e-04
C_N	0.9990	0.9993	0.9995	0.9996	0.9998	
$\alpha = 1.4$	7.6781e-03	3.8473e-03	1.9267e-03	9.6440e-04	4.8257e-04	2.4141e-04
C_N	0.9969	0.9977	0.9984	0.9989	0.9992	
$\alpha = 1.5$	7.8661e-03	3.9522e-03	1.9834e-03	9.9439e-04	4.9818e-04	2.4945e-04
C_N	0.9930	0.9947	0.9961	0.9971	0.9979	
$\alpha = 1.6$	7.9789e-03	4.0270e-03	2.0284e-03	1.0201e-03	5.1229e-04	2.5701e-04
C_N	0.9865	0.9893	0.9917	0.9936	0.9951	
$\alpha = 1.7$	7.9196e-03	4.0226e-03	2.0377e-03	1.0298e-03	5.1944e-04	2.6157e-04
C_N	0.9773	0.9812	0.9845	0.9874	0.9897	
$\alpha = 1.8$	7.5326e-03	3.8530e-03	1.9654e-03	9.9988e-04	5.0751e-04	2.5706e-04
C_N	0.9672	0.9712	0.9750	0.9783	0.9813	
$\alpha = 1.9$	6.5862e-03	3.3766e-03	1.7285e-03	8.8332e-04	4.5065e-04	2.2954e-04
C_N	0.9639	0.9660	0.9685	0.9709	0.9733	
<u>.</u>						

TABLE 2. Maximum errors and orders of convergence for $\beta = 1$ of the Example 6.1.

TABLE 3. Maximum differences and orders of convergence obtained by double-mesh principle for $\beta = 0.8$ of the Example 6.2.

-		_			10	
$\beta = 0.8$	$N = 2^{6}$	$N = 2^7$	$N = 2^{8}$	$N = 2^9$	$N = 2^{10}$	$N = 2^{11}$
$\alpha = 1.1$	2.2327e-03	1.1024e-03	5.4419e-04	2.6879e-04	1.3290e-04	6.5778e-05
\widetilde{C}_N	1.0181	1.0185	1.0176	1.0162	1.0149	
$\alpha = 1.2$	2.1064e-03	1.0414e-03	5.1435e-04	2.5410e-04	1.2563e-04	6.2171e-05
\widetilde{C}_N	1.0163	1.0177	1.0174	1.0162	1.0148	
$\alpha = 1.3$	1.9601e-03	9.7124e-04	4.8031e-04	2.3745e-04	1.1744e-04	5.8129e-05
\widetilde{C}_N	1.0130	1.0159	1.0163	1.0157	1.0146	
$\alpha = 1.4$	1.7871e-03	8.8853e-04	4.4037e-04	2.1803e-04	1.0795e-04	5.3472e-05
\widetilde{C}_N	1.0081	1.0127	1.0142	1.0142	1.0135	
$\alpha = 1.5$	1.5829e-03	7.9021e-04	3.9279e-04	1.9492e-04	9.6691e-05	4.7972e-05
\widetilde{C}_N	1.0023	1.0085	1.0109	1.0114	1.0112	

Example 6.3. Let us consider the following semilinear FDE:

$$\begin{cases} -D(D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u(x)) - (1+x/2)D_C^{\beta}u(x) + \mathcal{G}(x,u(x)) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \\ D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u(0) = 0, \ u(1) + 0.5 D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u(1) = \gamma, \end{cases}$$
(6.3)

where $\gamma = 3 + 1/2 \left(\Gamma(2 + \alpha - \beta) + 1/\Gamma(2 - \alpha + \beta) \right)$ and the nonlinear function $\mathcal{G}(x, u(x))$ is given by

$$\mathcal{G}(x, u(x)) = 2u(x) + u^2(x) + \eta(x).$$

The function $\eta(x)$ is selected so that the exact solution of the FDE (6.3) is $u(x) = x^{\alpha-\beta+1} \in \mathcal{C}^1(\overline{\Omega})$.



$\beta = 0.975$	$N = 2^{6}$	$N = 2^{7}$	$N = 2^{8}$	$N = 2^9$	$N = 2^{10}$	$N = 2^{11}$
$\alpha = 1.1$	2.8506e-03	1.4235e-03	7.1025e-04	3.5423e-04	1.7663e-04	8.8071e-05
\widetilde{C}_N	1.0018	1.0031	1.0037	1.0039	1.0040	
$\alpha = 1.2$	2.7425e-03	1.3701e-03	6.8373e-04	3.4103e-04	1.7006e-04	8.4796e-05
\widetilde{C}_N	1.0012	1.0028	1.0035	1.0038	1.0040	
$\alpha = 1.3$	2.6303e-03	1.3151e-03	6.5658e-04	3.2758e-04	1.6338e-04	8.1468e-05
\widetilde{C}_N	1.0001	1.0021	1.0031	1.0036	1.0039	
$\alpha = 1.4$	2.5091e-03	1.2563e-03	6.2781e-04	3.1340e-04	1.5636e-04	7.7986e-05
\widetilde{C}_N	0.99794	1.0008	1.0023	1.0031	1.0036	
$\alpha = 1.5$	2.3715e-03	1.1904e-03	5.9580e-04	2.9775e-04	1.4867e-04	7.4191e-05
\widetilde{C}_N	0.99440	0.99850	1.0007	1.0020	1.0028	
$\alpha = 1.6$	2.2073e-03	1.1120e-03	5.5806e-04	2.7944e-04	1.3974e-04	6.9816e-05
\widetilde{C}_N	0.98908	0.99469	0.99789	0.99983	1.0011	
$\alpha = 1.7$	2.0039e-03	1.0143e-03	5.1089e-04	2.5658e-04	1.2863e-04	6.4403e-05
\widetilde{C}_N	0.98227	0.98942	0.99360	0.99623	0.9980	
$\alpha = 1.8$	1.7497e-03	8.8937e-04	4.4956e-04	2.2651e-04	1.1389e-04	5.7189e-05
\widetilde{C}_N	0.97623	0.98427	0.98894	0.99188	• 0.9939	
$\alpha = 1.9$	1.4399e-03	7.3111e-04	3.6933e-04	1.8606e-04	9.3583e-05	4.7025e-05
\widetilde{C}_N	0.97778	0.98518	0.98915	0.99143	0.9928	

TABLE 4. Maximum differences and orders of convergence obtained by double-mesh principle for $\beta = 0.975$ of the Example 6.2.

TABLE 5. Maximum differences and orders of convergence obtained by double-mesh principle for $\beta = 1$ of the Example 6.2.

$\beta = 1$	$N = 2^{6}$	$N = 2^7$	$N = 2^{8}$	$N = 2^{9}$	$N = 2^{10}$	$N = 2^{11}$
$\alpha = 1.1$	2.9408e-03	1.4726e-03	7.3687e-04	3.6857e-04	1.8432e-04	9.2167e-05
\widetilde{C}_N	0.9978	0.9989	0.9995	0.9997	0.9999	
$\alpha = 1.2$	2.8313e-03	1.4183e-03	7.0979e-04	3.5506e-04	1.7757e-04	8.8795e-05
\widetilde{C}_N	0.9973	0.9987	0.9993	0.9997	0.9998	
$\alpha = 1.3$	2.7193e-03	1.3631e-03	6.8244e-04	3.4145e-04	1.7078e-04	8.5409e-05
\widetilde{C}_N	0.9963	0.9981	0.9990	0.9995	0.9997	
$\alpha = 1.4$	2.6006e-03	1.3052e-03	6.5393e-04	3.2734e-04	1.6378e-04	8.1922e-05
\widetilde{C}_N ,	0.9946	0.9971	0.9983	0.9990	0.9994	
$\alpha = 1.5$	2.4684e-03	1.2415e-03	6.2290e-04	3.1210e-04	1.5626e-04	7.8197e-05
\widetilde{C}_N	0.9915	0.9950	0.9970	0.9981	0.9988	
$\alpha = 1.6$	2.3134e-03	1.1674e-03	5.8708e-04	2.9467e-04	1.4772e-04	7.3999e-05
\widetilde{C}_N	0.9867	0.9917	0.9945	0.9962	0.9973	
$\alpha = 1.7$	2.1228e-03	1.0761e-03	5.4302e-04	2.7330e-04	1.3732e-04	6.8917e-05
\widetilde{C}_N	0.9802	0.9867	0.9905	0.9929	0.9946	
$\alpha = 1.8$	1.8832e-03	9.5908e-04	4.8587e-04	2.4537e-04	1.2366e-04	6.2239e-05
\widetilde{C}_N	0.9735	0.9811	0.9856	0.9885	0.9905	
$\alpha = 1.9$	1.5851e-03	8.0834e-04	4.1008e-04	2.0743e-04	1.0474e-04	5.2820e-05
\widetilde{C}_N	0.9715	0.9791	0.9833	0.9859	0.9876	





FIGURE 2. Figures corresponding to the Example 6.2.

Now utilizing the quasilinearization technique given in (5.2), we derive the following sequence of linear FDEs:

$$\begin{cases} -D(D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u^{(q+1)}(x)) - (1+x/2)D_C^{\beta}u^{(q+1)}(x) + (2u^{(q)}+2)u^{(q+1)}(x) \\ = (2u^{(q)}+2)u^{(q)}(x) - \mathcal{G}(x,u^{(q)}), \quad x \in \Omega, \\ D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u^{(q+1)}(0) = 0, \ u^{(q+1)}(1) + 0.5D_C^{\alpha-\beta}u^{(q+1)}(1) = \gamma. \end{cases}$$
(6.4)

Then, for fixed q we solve (6.4) using the discretization method discussed earlier. After reaching the tolerance bound, mentioned in (5.4) we break the Newton Sequence and consider that as the solution of our problem.

The maximum point-wise error and its associated order of convergence are determined using the same approach as illustrated in Example 6.1. The experimental outcomes of Example 6.3, presented in Tables 6-7 show the good agreement with the theoretical estimates. Log-log plots of the Example 6.3 with $\alpha = 1.5$, $\beta = 0.8$ and $\alpha = 1.7$, $\beta = 1$ are portrayed in Figure 3b. Additionally, Figure 3a offers a direct visual comparison of the exact solution and the approximate solution for the case when N = 256 and $\alpha = 1.5$, illustrating the accuracy of the numerical method for this specific parameter choice.

TABLE 6. Maximum errors and orders of convergence obtained for $\beta = 0.8$ of the Example 6.3

$\beta = 0.8$	$N = 2^{6}$	$N = 2^{7}$	$N = 2^{8}$	$N = 2^{9}$	$N = 2^{10}$	$N = 2^{11}$
$\alpha = 1.1$	8.3169e-03	4.2106e-03	2.1331e-03	1.0802e-03	5.4645e-04	2.7615e-04
C_N	0.9820	0.9811	0.9817	0.9831	0.9847	
$\alpha = 1.2$	8.5603e-03	4.3577e-03	2.2167e-03	1.1259e-03	5.7081e-04	2.8889e-04
C_N	0.9741	0.9751	0.9774	0.9800	0.9825	
$\alpha = 1.3$	8.8741e-03	4.5415e-03	2.3193e-03	1.1813e-03	6.0012e-04	3.0416e-04
C_N	0.9664	0.9695	0.9733	0.9771	0.9804	
$\alpha = 1.4$	9.1986e-03	4.7332e-03	2.4276e-03	1.2406e-03	6.3185e-04	3.2086e-04
C_N	0.9586	0.9633	0.9685	0.9734	0.9776	
$\alpha = 1.5$	9.4517e-03	4.8906e-03	2.5205e-03	1.2935e-03	6.6114e-04	3.3677e-04
C_N	0.9506	0.9563	0.9625	0.9682	0.9732	



$\beta = 1$	$N = 2^{6}$	$N = 2^{7}$	$N = 2^{8}$	$N = 2^9$	$N = 2^{10}$	$N = 2^{11}$
$\alpha = 1.1$	7.0687e-03	3.4367e-03	1.6756e-03	8.1852e-04	4.0044e-04	1.9614e-04
C_N	1.0404	1.0364	1.0336	1.0314	1.0297	
$\alpha = 1.2$	6.2513e-03	3.0189e-03	1.4651e-03	7.1372e-04	3.4876e-04	1.7087e-04
C_N	1.0501	1.0430	1.0376	1.0331	1.0293	
$\alpha = 1.3$	5.8920e-03	2.8593e-03	1.3960e-03	6.8460e-04	3.3690e-04	1.6626e-04
C_N	1.0431	1.0344	1.0279	1.0229	1.0189	
$\alpha = 1.4$	5.8137e-03	2.8501e-03	1.4050e-03	6.9517e-04	3.4483e-04	1.7136e-04
C_N	1.0284	1.0204	1.0152	1.0115	1.0088	
$\alpha = 1.5$	5.8972e-03	2.9250e-03	1.4565e-03	7.2677e-04	3.6300e-04	1.8140e-04
C_N	1.0116	1.0059	1.0030	1.0015	1.0008	
$\alpha = 1.6$	6.0463e-03	3.0338e-03	1.5255e-03	7.6733e-04	3.8575e-04	1.9377e-04
C_N	0.9949	0.9918	0.9914	0.9922	0.9933	
$\alpha = 1.7$	6.1609e-03	3.1243e-03	1.5858e-03	8.0415e-04	4.0707e-04	2.0568e-04
C_N	0.9796	0.9783	0.9797	0.9822	0.9848	
$\alpha = 1.8$	6.1102e-03	3.1231e-03	1.5978e-03	8.1643e-04	4.1631e-04	2.1180e-04
C_N	0.9682	0.9669	0.9687	0.9717	0.9750	
$\alpha = 1.9$	5.7019e-03	2.9121e-03	1.4916e-03	7.6417e-04	3.9112e-04	1.9990e-04
C_N	0.9694	0.9652	0.9649	0.9663	0.9684	

TABLE 7. Maximum errors and orders of convergence obtained for $\beta = 1$ of the Example 6.3



(B) Log-log plots for various values of α and β .

FIGURE 3. Figures corresponding to the Example 6.3.

6.1. Experimental results for the case $\alpha - \beta = 1$. In this subsection, we present some numerical results of the proposed Examples 6.1-6.3 for various values of α , $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, and β , $0 < \beta \leq 1$, that satisfy the condition $\alpha - \beta = 1$.

The numerical results for various values of α and β satisfying the condition $\alpha - \beta = 1$ are summarized in Tables 8-9 for the Examples 6.1-6.3. These tables highlight the accuracy and behavior of the solution under different parameter settings. Additionally, the log-log plots in Figure 4 visually demonstrate the convergence rates and trends of the solution, providing further insight into the impact of parameters on the model.

[(lpha,eta)	$N = 2^{6}$	$N = 2^{7}$	$N = 2^{8}$	$N = 2^9$	$N = 2^{10}$	$N = 2^{11}$
	(1.7, 0.7)	6.2058e-03	3.1289e-03	1.5753e-03	7.9213e-04	3.9789e-04	1.9969e-04
	C_N	0.9880	0.9900	0.9918	0.9934	0.9946	
	(1.8, 0.8)	5.8864e-03	2.9733e-03	1.5002e-03	7.5615e-04	3.8072e-04	1.9152e-04
	C_N	0.9853	0.9869	0.9885	0.9899	0.9913	
Ī	(1.9, 0.9)	5.4309e-03	2.7403e-03	1.3825e-03	6.9723e-04	3.5144e-04	1.7705e-04
	C_N	0.9869	0.9870	0.9876	0.9883	0.9891	
ĺ	(2, 1)	4.7587e-03	2.3743e-03	1.1859e-03	5.9263e-04	2.9624e-04	1.4810e-04
	C_N	1.0031	1.0015	1.0008	1.0004	1.0002	

TABLE 8. Errors and orders of convergence for the Example 6.1 for various α and β with the condition $\alpha - \beta = 1$.

TABLE 9. Maximum differences and orders of convergence for the Example 6.2 obtained by doublemesh principle for various α and β with the condition $\alpha - \beta = 1$.

	(lpha,eta)	$N = 2^{6}$	$N = 2^{7}$	$N = 2^8$	$N = 2^9$	$N = 2^{10}$	$N = 2^{11}$
ſ	(1.7, 0.7)	1.6220e-03	8.3421e-04	4.2578e-04	2.1620e-04	1.0938e-04	5.5192e-05
	\widetilde{C}_N	0.9593	0.9703	0.9778	0.9830	0.9868	
ſ	(1.8, 0.8)	1.3341e-03	6.8813e-04	3.5291e-04	1.8019e-04	9.1686e-05	4.6524e-05
	\widetilde{C}_N	0.9551	0.9634	0.9698	0.9748	0.9787	
	(1.9, 0.9)	1.0152e-03	5.0655e-04	2.5985e-04	1.3301e-04	6.7948e-05	3.4645e-05
	\widetilde{C}_N	1.0031	0.9630	0.9661	0.9691	0.9718	
ſ	(2, 1)	1.2308e-03	6.2042e-04	3.1145e-04	1.5603e-04	7.8091e-05	3.9064 e- 05
	\widetilde{C}_N	0.9883	0.9943	0.9972	0.9986	0.9993	

TABLE 10. Maximum errors and orders of convergence obtained for the Example 6.3 for various α and β with the condition $\alpha - \beta = 1$.

(lpha,eta)	$N = 2^{6}$	$N = 2^7$	$N = 2^8$	$N = 2^9$	$N = 2^{10}$	$N = 2^{11}$
(1.7, 0.7)	8.8897e-03	4.5130e-03	2.2884e-03	1.1582e-03	5.8506e-04	2.9501e-04
C_N	0.9781	0.9797	0.9824	0.9853	0.9878	
(1.8, 0.8)	8.0870e-03	4.1308e-03	2.1084e-03	1.0742e-03	5.4607e-04	2.7702e-04
C_N	0.9692	0.9703	0.9730	0.9761	0.9791	
(1.9, 0.9)	6.7851e-03	3.4675e-03	1.7745e-03	9.0769e-04	4.6374e-04	2.3658e-04
C_N	0.9685	0.9665	0.9671	0.9689	0.9710	
(2, 1)	4.6414e-03	2.2945e-03	1.1408e-03	5.6876e-04	2.8398e-04	1.4189e-04
C_N	1.0164	1.0082	1.0041	1.0020	1.0010	

7. Conclusion

In this paper, we have presented a scheme based on the L1- method for solving a steady-state fractional convectiondiffusion equation (FDE) with a reaction term. The model problem incorporates a mixed-fractional derivative as the higher-order derivative term. We have addressed the discrete maximum principle and conducted a thorough error analysis. The convergence of the scheme was established using a carefully constructed discrete barrier function. Furthermore, semilinear FDEs were successfully solved by applying Newton's quasilinearization technique followed by the proposed scheme. Finally, several numerical examples were provided to validate the theoretical results.





(A) Log-log plots for Example 6.1 with $\alpha - \beta = 1$.

(B) Log-log plots for Example 6.2 with $\alpha - \beta = 1$.





FIGURE 4. Figures corresponding to the Tables 8-10.



Acknowledgement

The first author would like to extend his gratitude to IIT Guwahati for providing fellowship support for this research.

The authors express their sincere thanks to the referees whose valuable comments helped to improve the presentation.

References

- V. B. L. Chaurasia, D. Kumar, Application of Sumudu Transform in Fractional Differential Equation Associated with RLC Electrical Circuit, Int. J. Comput. Sci. Math., (2010), 177–183.
- [2] K. Diethelm, *The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations*, vol. 2004 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010. An application-oriented exposition using differential operators of Caputo type.
- [3] A. Drozdov, Fractional differential models in finite viscoelasticity, Acta Mech., 124 (1997), 155–180.
- [4] H. Du, Z. Chen, and T. Yang, A meshless method in reproducing kernel space for solving variable-order time fractional advection-diffusion equations on arbitrary domain, Appl. Math. Lett., 116 (2021), 107014.
- [5] V. J. Ervin and J. P. Roop, Variational formulation for the stationary fractional advection dispersion equation, Numer. Methods Partial Differ. Equ., 22 (2006), 558–576.
- [6] N. J. Ford, J. Xiao, and Y. Yan, A finite element method for time fractional partial differential equations, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal., 14 (2011), 454–474.
- [7] A. Goswami, J. Singh, D. Kumar, S. Gupta, et al., An efficient analytical technique for fractional partial differential equations occurring in ion acoustic waves in plasma, J. Ocean Eng. Sci., 4 (2019), 85–99.
- [8] J. L. Gracia, E. O'Riordan, and M. Stynes, Convergence analysis of a finite difference scheme for a two-point boundary value problem with a Riemann-Liouville-Caputo fractional derivative, BIT Numer. Math., 60 (2020), 411–439.
- [9] J. L. Gracia and M. Stynes, A finite difference method for an initial-boundary value problem with a Riemann-Liouville-Caputo spatial fractional derivative, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 381 (2021), 113020.
- [10] M. Hahn, K. Kobayashi, and S. Umarov, SDEs driven by a time-changed Lévy process and their associated time-fractional order pseudo-differential equations, J. Theor. Probab., 25 (2012), 262–279.
- [11] Q. D. Katatbeh and F. B. M. Belgacem, Applications of the Sumudu transform to fractional differential equations, Nonlinear Stud., 18 (2011), 99–112.
- [12] S. Larsson, M. Racheva, and F. Saedpanah, Discontinuous Galerkin method for an integro-differential equation modeling dynamic fractional order viscoelasticity, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng., 283 (2015), 196–209.
- [13] C. Li and F. Zeng, Numerical methods for fractional calculus, Chapman & Hall/CRC Numerical Analysis and Scientific Computing, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2015.
- [14] C. Li and Q. Yi and J. Kurths, Fractional convection, J. Comput. Nonlinear Dynam., 13 (2018), 011004.
- [15] Q. Liu and L. Chen, Time-space fractional model for complex cylindrical ion-acoustic waves in ultrarelativistic plasmas, Complexity, 2020 (2020).
- [16] A. Mardani, M. R. Hooshmandasl, M. H. Heydari, and C. Cattani, A meshless method for solving the time fractional advection-diffusion equation with variable coefficients, Comput. Math. Appl., 75 (2018), 122–133.
- [17] P. Patie and T. Simon, Intertwining certain fractional derivatives, Potential Anal., 36 (2012), 569–587.
- [18] A. Pedas and E. Tamme, Piecewise polynomial collocation for linear boundary value problems of fractional differential equations, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 236 (2012), 3349–3359.
- [19] E. Scalas, R. Gorenflo, and F. Mainardi, Fractional calculus and continuous-time finance, Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, 284 (2000), 376–384.
- [20] A. Seal and S. Natesan, Convergence analysis of a second-order scheme for fractional differential equation with integral boundary conditions, J. Appl. Math. Comput., 69 (2022), 465–489.
- [21] A. Seal and S. Natesan and Ş. Toprakseven, A dimensional-splitting weak Galerkin finite element method for 2D time-fractional diffusion equation, J. Sci. Comput., 98 (2024), 56.
- [22] M. Stynes and J. L. Gracia, A finite difference method for a two-point boundary value problem with a Caputo fractional derivative, IMA J. Numer. Anal., 35 (2015), 698–721.

- [23] M. Stynes, E. O'Riordan, and J. L. Gracia, Error analysis of a finite difference method on graded meshes for a time-fractional diffusion equation, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 55 (2017), 1057–1079.
- [24] D. N. Tien, Fractional stochastic differential equations with applications to finance, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 397 (2013), 334-348.
- [25] Z. Tong, Interest rate modeling with fractional Levy process, Commun. Math. Finance, 4 (2015), 49–71.
- [26] R. S. Varga, Matrix iterative analysis, vol. 27 of Springer Series in Computational Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, expanded ed., 2000.
- [27] H. Wang and D. Yang, Wellposedness of Neumann boundary-value problems of space-fractional differential equations, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal., 20 (2017), 1356–1381.
- [28] H. Wang, D. Xu, J. Zhou, and J. Guo, Weak Galerkin finite element method for a class of time fractional generalized Burgers' equation, Numer. Methods Partial Differ. Equ., 37 (2021), 732-749.
- [29] Y. Zhang, A finite difference method for fractional partial differential equation, Appl. Math. Comput., 215 (2009), 524 - 529.

i), ion, AL

