



## Existence and uniqueness criteria for the solution of impulsive-implicit FDE with non-local operator

Munzarin A. Sajjan<sup>1,2\*</sup> and Amjad S. Shaikh<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Sir Parshurambhau College, Affiliated to Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune, India.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, AKI's Poona College of Arts, Science and Commerce, Camp, Pune, India.

### Abstract

This article deals with the existence results and uniqueness criteria for impulsive-implicit FDE of order  $0 < \beta < 1$ , where authors obtained the results using Atangana-Baleanu derivative operator. The existence results and uniqueness criteria are obtained by using Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem and Banach Contraction principle. Further authors have obtained Hyer-Ulam stability. To show applicability of obtained results, a few examples are provided in details.

**Keywords.** Atangana Baleanu derivative operator, Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem, Banach contraction principle, and Hyer-Ulam stability.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.** 65L05, 34K06, 34K28.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The study of fractional differential equations has increased exponentially in the last several decades because of its vast range of applications in disciplines like chemistry, physics, biology, nuclear dynamics, etc. see in [23]. Due to their tremendous application, many researchers are using different derivative operators such as Riemann Liouville, Hilfer, Caputo, Hadamard, Caputo-Fabrizio, Atangana-Baleanu, Riez derivative operators [1, 15, 20, 26]. Fractional-order differential equations can now be solved using a variety of analytical and numerical methods. For example, Jassim et al. [11] suggested a hybrid method for solving fractional partial differential equations based on the homotopy analysis Sumudu transform method and illustrated its efficacy with a number of examples. Additionally, Mohammed et al. [18] looked into a higher-dimensional time-fractional Fokas equation and used several analytical techniques to find exact solutions. Now a days many researchers are applying Caputo-Fabrizio derivative operator for modeling various problems in engineering sciences because of their nonlocal property see in [2, 21, 33]. Furthermore, these type of derivatives are utilized in an exponential decay kernel to a novel HIV/AIDS epidemic model which includes an anti-retrovirus treatment compartment, optimal control and synchronization, dynamical system with chaotic, non-chaotic and hyper-chaotic behaviors, nonstandard finite difference scheme and non-identical synchronization of a novel fractional chaotic system one can see in [5-7, 19, 25]. In addition, the research has been done on existence, uniqueness and stability results. We refer to some of the articles [9, 17, 24, 29].

Additionally, the mathematical modeling of various real-world problem is studied by many researchers see in [22, 27, 31]. Also it is made easier by the inherent structure of impulsive differential equations. It is possible to measure the influence of the instantaneous model mutation and give a theoretical foundation for the practical application by taking the impulse effect into account in the continuous differential equation. Consequently, academics are also quite interested in solving impulsive fractional differential equation problems. Readers can review the theories of impulsive FDE by visiting [4, 12-14, 16, 28, 30].

Received: 28 October 2024; Accepted: 07 July 2026.

\* Corresponding author. Email: sajjanm88@gmail.com.

The following impulsive fractional differential equation was examined by Yang and Zhang in [32]

$$\begin{aligned} {}^C D_{0+}^\alpha \eta(p) &= G(p, \eta(p)), p \in J = (0, 1), p \neq p_k, \\ \Delta \eta(p) &= \zeta(p_k) = I_k(\eta(p_k)), k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m, \\ \eta(0) &= g(\eta). \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

The existence of solution for the class of fractional differential equations involving the Caputo-Fabrizio FD studied by Eiman et al. in [10].

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{CF} D_l^\theta z(l) &= F(l, z(l), {}^{CF} D_l^\theta z(l)), l \in [0, T], \\ z(0) &= z_0, z_0 \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

Inspired by the previously completed work, we used the Atangana Baleanu derivative operator to achieve results on the existence and uniqueness for the below mention Impulsive-Implicit FDE.

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{AB} D_h^\beta m(h) &= G(h, m(h), {}^{AB} D_h^\beta m(h)), h \in [0, a], h \neq h_k, \\ \Delta m(h_k) &= I_k(m(h_k)), k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n, \\ m(0) &= g(m). \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where  $G : [0, a] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  ${}^{AB} D_h^\beta$  is Atangana Baleanu derivative operator of order  $0 < \beta < 1$ , a continuous function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $I_k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

$\Delta m(h_k) = m(h_k^+) - m(h_k^-)$ ,  $0 = h_0 < h_1 < \dots < h_n < h_{n+1} = a$ , right side of Eq. (1.3) vanish at  $h = 0$ .

"In this section, we provide several fundamental definitions and key theorems necessary for the development and analysis of the results derived from this study."

**Definition 1.1** (Atangana Baleanu Fractional Derivative Operator). [3] If  $f(x) \in C^2[a, b]$  and  $a < x < b$  then Atangana Baleanu Fractional Derivative is denoted by  ${}^{AB} D^\alpha f(x)$  and is defined as follows

$${}^{AB} D^\alpha f(x) = \frac{M(\alpha)}{1-\alpha} \int_0^x E_\alpha \left( \frac{-\alpha(x-t)}{1-\alpha} \right) f'(t) dt.$$

**Definition 1.2.** Atangana Baleanu Fractional Integral Operator [3] If  $f(x) \in C^2[a, b]$  and  $a < x < b$  then Atangana Baleanu Fractional Integral is denoted by  ${}^{AB} I^\alpha f(x)$  and is defined as follows

$${}^{AB} I^\alpha f(x) = \frac{1-\alpha}{M(\alpha)} f(x) + \frac{\alpha}{M(\alpha)\Gamma\alpha} \int_0^x f(s)(x-s)^{\alpha-1} ds.$$

**Theorem 1.3.** [30] Let  $Y$  be non empty, convex and closed subset of  $X$ . Consider two operators  $T, S$  such that

- (1)  $T(y_1) + S(y_2) \in Y, \forall y_1, y_2 \in Y$ .
- (2)  $T$  is contraction operator.
- (3)  $S$  is continuous and compact. then there exists at least one solution  $y \in X$  such that  $T(y) + S(y) = y$ .

**Definition 1.4** (H-U Stable). The said problem Eq. (1.3) is H-U stable if any  $\epsilon > 0$  for the given inequality

$$|{}^{AB} D_t^\beta m(h) - G(h, m(h), {}^{AB} D_h^\beta m(h))| \leq \epsilon, \forall h \in [0, a].$$

then,  $\exists$  unique solution  $\bar{m}(h)$  with a constant  $Z$  such that

$$|m(h) - \bar{m}(h)| \leq Z\epsilon, \forall h \in [0, a].$$

**Remark 1.5.** Suppose there exists a function  $\Psi(h)$  which depend on  $m \in Y$  with  $\Psi(0) = 0, \Psi(a) = 0$  such that

- (1)  $|\Psi(h)| \leq \epsilon, \forall h \in [0, a]$ .
- (2)  ${}^{AB} D^\beta m(h) = G(h, m(h), {}^{AB} D^\beta m(h)) + \Psi(h), 0 < \beta < 1, \forall h \in [0, a]$ .

**Lemma 1.6.** The unique solution of the given initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{AB} D_h^\beta m(h) &= F(h), 0 < \beta < 1, \\ m(0) &= m_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$



is given by

$$m(h) = m_0 + (1 - \beta)[F(h) - F(0)] + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} F(s) ds.$$

We are using the following notations throughout our work:

- Let  $C([0, a], \mathbb{R})$  be space of all continuous function on  $[0, a]$  such that  $\|m\|_C = \sup_{h \in [0, a]} |m(h)|$ .
- Let  $Y = PC([0, a], \mathbb{R})$  be a Banach space. Define  $Y = \{m : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid m \in C((h_k, h_{k+1}], \mathbb{R}), k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $\exists m(h_k^+), m(h_k^-), k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ . with the norm  $\|m\|_{PC} = \max_{h \in [0, a]} |m(h)|$ .

## 2. THEOREM ENVIRONMENTS

This section is dedicated to the principal analytical findings of the current study regarding the examined fractional differential equation. We start by finding an equivalent integral formulation of the problem, which is very important for the analysis that follows. This transformation allows fixed point methods to be used in the right function space. In this framework, the Banach contraction principle is used to find conditions that are strong enough for uniqueness. Then, Krasnoselskii’s fixed point theorem is used to find conditions that are strong enough for existence. The subsequent lemma represents the preliminary step in this direction, offering an equivalent formulation that is essential for deriving the solution of the specified fractional differential equation.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $0 < \beta < 1$  and a continuous function  $\eta : [0, a] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . A function  $m \in Y$  is called solution of the given impulsive problem Eq. (2.1)*

$${}^AB D_h^\beta m(h) = \eta(h), \beta \in (0, 1), h \in [0, a], h \neq h_k, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\Delta m(h_k) = I_k(m(h_k)), k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n,$$

$$m(0) = g(m). \tag{2.2}$$

iff it satisfies

$$m(h) = \begin{cases} g(m) + (1 - \beta)[\eta(h) - \eta(0)] + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds, & h \in [0, h_1], \\ g(m) + (1 - \beta)[\eta(h) - \eta(0)] + I_1(m(h_1^-)) + \beta \int_0^{h_1} (h_1 - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds \\ + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds, & h \in [h_1, h_2], \\ g(m) + (1 - \beta)[\eta(h) - \eta(0)] + I_1(m(h_1^-)) + I_2(m(h_2^-)) \\ + \beta \int_0^{h_1} (h_1 - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds + \beta \int_0^{h_2} (h_2 - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds, & h \in [h_2, h_3], \\ \dots \\ g(m) + (1 - \beta)[\eta(h) - \eta(0)] + \sum_{l=1}^k I_l(m(h_l^-)) \\ + \beta \sum_{l=1}^k \int_0^{h_l} (h_l - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds, & h \in [h_k, h_{k+1}], k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

*Proof.* Consider  $m(h)$  satisfies Eq. (2.1)

If  $h \in [0, h_1]$  then

$${}^AB D_h^\beta m(h) = \eta(h), h \in (0, h_1], \text{ with } m(0) = g(m).$$

Using Lemma 1.6, we get the following

$$m(h) = g(m) + (1 - \beta)[\eta(h) - \eta(0)] + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds,$$

After applying impulsive condition  $m(h_1^-)$ , we can find

$$m(h_1^-) = g(m) + (1 - \beta)[\eta(h_1) - \eta(0)] + \beta \int_0^{h_1} (h_1 - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds, \tag{2.4}$$

Now, if  $h \in [h_1, h_2]$  then

$${}^AB D_h^\beta m(h) = \eta(h), h \in (h_1, h_2],$$



with

$$m(h_1^+) = m(h_1^-) + I_1(m(h_1^-)),$$

Again using Lemma 1.6, we can find

$$\begin{aligned} m(h) &= m(h_1^+) + (1 - \beta)[\eta(h) - \eta(h_1)] + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds, \\ m(h) &= m(h_1^-) + I_1(m(h_1^-)) + (1 - \beta)[\eta(h) - \eta(h_1)] + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

Using Eq. (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned} m(h) &= g(m) + (1 - \beta)[\eta(h_1) - \eta(0)] + \beta \int_0^{h_1} (h_1 - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds, \\ &\quad + I_1(m(h_1^-)) + (1 - \beta)[\eta(h) - \eta(h_1)] + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds, \\ m(h) &= g(m) + (1 - \beta)[\eta(h) - \eta(0)] + \beta \int_0^{h_1} (h_1 - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds, \\ &\quad + I_1(m(h_1^-)) + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Again applying impulsive condition  $m(h_2^-)$  in equation Eq. (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} m(h_2^-) &= g(m) + (1 - \beta)[\eta(h_2) - \eta(0)] + I_1(m(h_1^-)), \\ &\quad + \beta \int_0^{h_1} (h_1 - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds + \beta \int_0^{h_2} (h_2 - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds, \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

If  $h \in [h_2, h_3]$  then

$${}^{AB}D_h^\beta m(h) = \eta(h), h \in (h_2, h_3], \text{ with } m(h_2^+) = m(h_2^-) + I_2(m(h_2^-)),$$

Again using Lemma 1.6, we obtained the following

$$\begin{aligned} m(h) &= m(h_2^+) + (1 - \beta)[\eta(h) - \eta(h_2)] + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds, \\ m(h) &= m(h_2^-) + I_2(m(h_2^-)) + (1 - \beta)[\eta(h) - \eta(h_2)] + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

By Eq. (2.6)

$$\begin{aligned} m(h) &= g(m) + (1 - \beta)[\eta(h_2) - \eta(0)] + I_1(m(h_1^-)) \\ &\quad + \beta \int_0^{h_1} (h_1 - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds + \beta \int_0^{h_2} (h_2 - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds, \\ &\quad + I_2(m(h_2^-)) + (1 - \beta)[\eta(h) - \eta(h_2)] + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds, \\ m(h) &= g(m) + (1 - \beta)[\eta(h) - \eta(0)] + I_1(m(h_1^-)) + I_2(m(h_2^-)) \\ &\quad + \beta \int_0^{h_1} (h_1 - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds + \beta \int_0^{h_2} (h_2 - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

Continuing in this way, one can find

$$m(h) = g(m) + (1 - \beta)[\eta(h) - \eta(0)] + \sum_{l=1}^k I_l(m(h_l^-))$$



$$+ \beta \sum_{l=1}^k \int_0^{h_l} (h_l - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} \eta(s) ds, \quad h \in [h_k, h_{k+1}],$$

Hence  $m(h)$  satisfies Eq. (2.3).

Conversely, assume  $m(h)$  satisfies the Eq. (2.3), then by applying  ${}^{AB}D_h^\beta$  to the Eq. (2.3), we can obtained impulsive problem Eq. (2.1).  $\square$

The following corollary gives an equivalent integral representation of the given fractional differential equation Eq. (1.3), which serves as a foundation for applying fixed point theorems.

By using above lemma, we obtained the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Considering Lemma 2.1, the impulsive-implicit fractional differential equation (1.3) has the following solution:*

$$m(h) = \begin{cases} \begin{cases} g(m) + (1 - \beta)[G(h, m(h), {}^{AB}D_h^\beta m(h)) - G(0, y(0), {}^{AB}D_h^\beta y(0))] \\ + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} G(s, y(s), {}^{AB}D_s^\beta y(s)) ds, & h \in [0, h_1], \\ g(m) + (1 - \beta)[G(h, m(h), {}^{AB}D_h^\beta m(h)) - G(0, m(0), {}^{AB}D_h^\beta m(0))] \\ + I_1(m(h_1^-)) + \beta \int_0^{h_1} (h_1 - s)^{\beta-1} G(s, m(s), {}^{AB}D_s^\beta m(s)) ds \\ + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} G(s, m(s), {}^{AB}D_s^\beta m(s)) ds, & h \in [h_1, h_2], \\ g(m) + (1 - \beta)[G(h, m(h), {}^{AB}D_h^\beta m(h)) - G(0, m(0), {}^{AB}D_h^\beta m(0))] \\ + I_1(m(h_1^-)) + I_2(m(h_2^-)) + \beta \int_0^{h_1} (h_1 - s)^{\beta-1} G(s, m(s), {}^{AB}D_s^\beta m(s)) ds \\ + \beta \int_0^{h_2} (h_2 - s)^{\beta-1} G(s, m(s), {}^{AB}D_s^\beta m(s)) ds \\ + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} G(s, m(s), {}^{AB}D_s^\beta m(s)) ds, & h \in [h_2, h_3], \\ \dots, \\ g(m) + (1 - \beta)[G(h, m(h), {}^{AB}D_h^\beta m(h)) - G(0, m(0), {}^{AB}D_h^\beta m(0))] \\ + \sum_{l=1}^k I_l(m(h_l^-)) + \beta \sum_{l=1}^k \int_0^{h_l} (h_l - s)^{\beta-1} G(s, m(s), {}^{AB}D_s^\beta m(s)) ds \\ + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} G(s, m(s), {}^{AB}D_s^\beta m(s)) ds, & h \in [h_k, h_{k+1}], \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{cases} \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

Consider  $G(h, m(h), {}^{AB}D_h^\beta m(h)) = G(h)$ , at  $h = 0$ ,  $G(0, m(0), {}^{AB}D_h^\beta m(0)) = G(0)$ . First we transform the given problem to fixed point problem. So let us define the fixed point operator  $A : Y \rightarrow Y$  as follows:

$$Am(h) = g(m) + (1 - \beta)[G(h) - G(0)] + \sum_{l=1}^k I_l m(h_l^-) + \beta \sum_{l=1}^k \int_0^{h_l} (h_l - s)^{\beta-1} G(s) ds + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} G(s) ds. \quad (2.8)$$

Consider the following assumptions holds.

- (A<sub>1</sub>) The function  $G : [0, a] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous.
- (A<sub>2</sub>)  $\exists L > 0, 0 < M < 1$  such that  $|G(h, m(h), G(h)) - G(h, \bar{m}(h), \bar{G}(h))| \leq L|m(h) - \bar{m}(h)| + M|G(h) - \bar{G}(h)|$ .
- (A<sub>3</sub>)  $I_k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous functions. There exists  $0 < C_k < 1$  such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |I_k(m(h) - I_k(\bar{m}(h)))| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n C_k |m(h) - \bar{m}(h)|, \quad m, \bar{m} \in \mathbb{R}, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n.$$

Further, we consider  $\sum_{k=1}^n C_k = C < 1$ .

- (A<sub>4</sub>) Let  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous function which satisfies the condition  $|g(m) - g(\bar{m})| \leq K|m - \bar{m}|, 0 < K < 1$ .
- (A<sub>5</sub>)  $\exists$  some positive constants  $P, Q, R > 0$  and  $0 < Q < 1$  such that

$$|G(h, m(h), G(h))| \leq P + Q|m(h)| + R|G(h)|, \text{ for each } h \in [0, a], m(h), G(h) \in \mathbb{R}.$$



(A<sub>6</sub>)  $\exists$  positive constant  $K^* > 0$  such that

$$|g(m)| \leq K^* |m(h)|, \text{ for } m(h) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Theorem 2.3.** Consider the assumptions  $A_1 - A_4$ , there exist a unique solution to the problem Eq. (1.3) if

$$\left[ K + C + (1 - \beta + (n + 1)J^\beta) \frac{L}{1 - M} \right] < 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $h \in [0, a]$ ,  $m(h), \bar{m}(h) \in Y$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |Am(h) - A\bar{m}(h)| &= \left| g(m) + (1 - \beta)[G(h) - G(0)] + \sum_{k=1}^n I_k(m(h_k^-)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \beta \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^{h_k} (h_k - s)^{\beta-1} G(s) ds + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} G(s) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. - g(\bar{m}) - (1 - \beta)[\bar{G}(h) - G(0)] - \sum_{k=1}^n I_k(\bar{m}(h_k^-)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \beta \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^{h_k} (h_k - s)^{\beta-1} \bar{G}(s) ds - \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} \bar{G}(s) ds \right|, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |Am(h) - A\bar{m}(h)| &\leq |g(m) - g(\bar{m})| + (1 - \beta)|G(h) - \bar{G}(h)| + \sum_{k=1}^n |I_k(m(h_k^-)) - I_k(\bar{m}(h_k^-))| \\ &\quad + \beta \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^{h_k} (h_k - s)^{\beta-1} |G(s) - \bar{G}(s)| ds + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} |G(s) - \bar{G}(s)| ds, \end{aligned}$$

From assumption  $A_2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |G(h) - \bar{G}(h)| &\leq L|m(h) - \bar{m}(h)| + M|G(h) - \bar{G}(h)|, \\ |G(h) - \bar{G}(h)| - M|G(h) - \bar{G}(h)| &\leq L|m(h) - \bar{m}(h)|, \\ |G(h) - \bar{G}(h)| &\leq \frac{L}{(1 - M)} |m(h) - \bar{m}(h)|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Substitute Eq. (2.9) in above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |Am(h) - A\bar{m}(h)| &\leq K|m(h) - \bar{m}(h)| + \frac{(1 - \beta)L}{1 - M} |m(h) - \bar{m}(h)| + C|m(h) - \bar{m}(h)| \\ &\quad + \beta \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^{h_k} (h_k - s)^{\beta-1} \frac{L}{1 - M} |m(s) - \bar{m}(s)| ds + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} \frac{L}{1 - M} |m(s) - \bar{m}(s)| ds, \end{aligned}$$

Taking maximum on both side, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|Am(h) - A\bar{m}(h)\|_{PC} &\leq K\|m(h) - \bar{m}(h)\|_{PC} + \frac{(1 - \beta)L}{1 - M} \|m(h) - \bar{m}(h)\|_{PC} + C\|m(h) - \bar{m}(h)\|_{PC} \\ &\quad + \frac{L\beta}{1 - M} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^{h_k} (h_k - s)^{\beta-1} ds \right) \|m(s) - \bar{m}(s)\|_{PC} \\ &\quad + \frac{L\beta}{1 - M} \left( \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} ds \right) \|m(s) - \bar{m}(s)\|_{PC}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^{h_k} (h_k - s)^{\beta-1} ds \leq \frac{na^\beta}{\beta},$$



$$\begin{aligned} \|Am(h) - A\bar{m}(h)\|_{PC} &\leq \left[ K + \frac{L(1-\beta)}{1-M} + C + \frac{nLa^\beta}{1-M} + \frac{La^\beta}{1-M} \right] \|m(h) - \bar{m}(h)\|_{PC}, \\ &\leq \left[ K + C + \frac{L}{1-M} ((1-\beta) + (n+1)a^\beta) \right] \|m(h) - \bar{m}(h)\|_{PC}, \\ \|Am(h) - A\bar{m}(h)\|_{PC} &\leq \|m(h) - \bar{m}(h)\|_{PC}. \end{aligned}$$

The operator  $A$  is contraction. Hence there exist a unique solution to the problem Eq. (1.3). □

**Theorem 2.4.** *Under the assumption  $A_5 - A_6$  are satisfied, then the Impulsive-IFDE Eq. (1.3) has atleast one solution if*

$$0 < \left( K + \frac{L(1-\beta)}{1-M} + C \right) < 1.$$

*Proof.* From Eq. (2.8), define two operators say  $T_1, T_2$  as follows

$$T_1m(h) = g(m) + (1-\beta)[G(h) - G(0)] + \sum_{l=1}^k I_l(m(h_l^-)), \tag{2.10}$$

$$T_2m(h) = \beta \sum_{l=1}^k \int_0^{h_l} (h_l - s)^{\beta-1} G(s) ds + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} G(s) ds. \tag{2.11}$$

Define  $H = \{m(h) \in Y \mid \|m\|_{PC} \leq q, q > 0 \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . First, we prove that  $T_1$  is contraction mapping. For this purpose, let  $m(h), \bar{m}(h) \in Y$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |T_1m(h) - T_1\bar{m}(h)| &= \left| g(m) + (1-\beta)[G(h) - G(0)] + \sum_{l=1}^k I_l(m(h_l^-)), \right. \\ &\quad \left. - g(\bar{m}) - (1-\beta)[\bar{G}(h) - G(0)] - \sum_{l=1}^k I_l(\bar{m}(h_l^-)) \right|, \\ &\leq |g(m) - g(\bar{m})| + (1-\beta)|G(h) - \bar{G}(h)| + \sum_{l=1}^k \left| I_l(m(h)) - I_l(\bar{m}(h)) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking maximum on both side of above and using Eq. (2.9), assumptions  $A_3 - A_4$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_1m(h) - T_1\bar{m}(h)\| &\leq \left( K + \frac{(1-\beta)L}{1-M} + C \right) \|m(h) - \bar{m}(h)\|_{PC}, \\ \left( K + \frac{(1-\beta)L}{1-M} + C \right) &< 1, \\ \|T_1m(h) - T_1\bar{m}(h)\| &\leq \|m(h) - \bar{m}(h)\|_{PC}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $T_1$  is contraction.

Going ahead we will be going to prove, the operator  $T_2$  is compact and continuous.

Consider  $m(h) \in Y$

$$|T_2m(h)| = \left| \beta \sum_{l=1}^k \int_0^{h_l} (h_l - s)^{\beta-1} G(s) ds + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} G(s) ds \right|, \tag{2.12}$$

$$\leq \beta \sum_{l=1}^k \int_0^{h_l} (h_l - s)^{\beta-1} |G(s)| ds + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} |G(s)| ds, \tag{2.13}$$



Where, by using assumption  $A_5$  one can obtained

$$|G(h)| \leq \frac{P}{1-R} + \frac{Q}{1-R} |m(h)|. \quad (2.14)$$

Taking maximum of Eq. (2.13) and using Eq. (2.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_2 m(h)\|_{PC} &\leq \beta \sum_{l=1}^k \int_0^{h_l} (h_l - s)^{\beta-1} \left( \frac{P}{1-R} + \frac{Q}{1-R} \|m(h)\|_{PC} \right) ds \\ &\quad + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} \left( \frac{P}{1-R} + \frac{Q}{1-R} \|m(h)\|_{PC} \right) ds, \\ \|T_2 m(h)\|_{PC} &\leq (n+1)a^\beta \left( \frac{P}{1-R} + \frac{Q}{1-R} \|m(h)\|_{PC} \right), \end{aligned}$$

Because  $\|m(h)\|_{PC} \leq q$ ,

$$\|T_2 m(h)\|_{PC} \leq (n+1)a^\beta \left( \frac{P}{1-R} + \frac{Q}{1-R} q \right) = Q^*.$$

Hence, the operator  $T_2$  is bounded. Further, let  $T_1 < T_2$  in  $[0, a]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |T_2 m(h_2) - T_2 m(h_1)| &= \left| \beta \sum_{l=1}^k \int_0^{h_l} (h_l - s)^{\beta-1} G(s) ds + \beta \int_0^{h_2} (h_2 - s)^{\beta-1} G(s) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \beta \sum_{l=1}^k \int_0^{h_l} (h_l - s)^{\beta-1} G(s) ds - \beta \int_0^{h_1} (h_1 - s)^{\beta-1} G(s) ds \right|, \\ &\leq \beta \int_0^{h_2} (h_2 - s)^{\beta-1} |G(s)| ds + \beta \int_{h_1}^0 (h_1 - s)^{\beta-1} |G(s)| ds, \end{aligned}$$

Taking maximum and simplifying above, we get

$$\|T_2 y(h_2) - T_2 y(h_1)\|_{PC} \leq (h_2^\beta - h_1^\beta) \left( \frac{P}{1-R} + \frac{Qq}{1-R} \right).$$

As  $h_1 \rightarrow h_2$ , R.H.S of above tends to 0, then  $\|T_2 m(h_2) - T_2 m(h_1)\|_{PC}$  also tends to zero. Hence  $T_2$  is equicontinuous, by Arzela-Ascoli theorem  $T_2$  is compact. Hence by Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem, given Impulsive-IFDE Eq. (1.3) has atleast one solution.  $\square$

### 3. STABILITY RESULTS

This section focuses on examining the stability of the derived solution for the fractional differential equation Eq. (1.3). We are especially interested in looking into the Hyers–Ulam stability of the problem under certain conditions. Using the right analytical methods, we can find the right conditions to make sure that the solution meets the Hyers–Ulam stability criteria. To achieve the desired outcomes, we initially introduce the following auxiliary lemma, which is essential for the forthcoming analysis.

**Lemma 3.1.** *The solution of given problem*

$${}_0^{AB} D^\beta m(h) = G(h, m(h), {}_0^{AB} D^\beta m(h)) + \Psi(h), 0 < \beta < 1, \forall h \in [0, a], h \neq h_k,$$

$$\Delta m(h_k) = I_k(m(h_k)), k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n,$$

$$m(0) = g(m).$$

is

$$m(h) = g(m) + (1 - \beta)[G(h) - G(0)] + (1 - \beta)[\Psi(h) - \Psi(0)] + \sum_{l=1}^k I_l(m(h_l^-))$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \beta \sum_{l=1}^k \int_0^{h_l} (h_l - s)^{\beta-1} G(s) ds + \beta \sum_{l=1}^k \int_0^{h_l} (h_l - s)^{\beta-1} \Psi(s) ds \\
 & + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} G(s) ds + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} \Psi(s) ds.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Where  $G(h) = G(h, m(h), {}^{AB}D^\beta m(h))$  and  $\Psi(h) = 0$ , further from the solution Eq.(3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| m(h) - \left[ g(m) + (1 - \beta)[G(h) - G(0)] + \sum_{l=1}^k I_l(m(h_l^-)) + \beta \sum_{l=1}^k \int_0^{h_l} (h_l - s)^{\beta-1} G(s) ds + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} G(s) ds \right] \right| \\
 & \leq [(1 - \beta) + (n + 1)a^\beta]\epsilon.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

*Proof.* From Eq. (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| m(h) - \left[ g(m) + (1 - \beta)[G(h) - G(0)] + \sum_{l=1}^k I_l(m(h_l^-)) \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. + \beta \sum_{l=1}^k \int_0^{h_l} (h_l - s)^{\beta-1} G(s) ds + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} G(s) ds \right] \right|, \\
 & = \left| (1 - \beta)(\Psi(h) - \Psi(0)) + \beta \sum_{l=1}^k \int_0^{h_l} (h_l - s)^{\beta-1} \Psi(s) ds + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} \Psi(s) ds \right|, \\
 & \leq (1 - \beta)|\Psi(h)| + \beta \sum_{l=1}^k \int_0^{h_l} (h_l - s)^{\beta-1} |\Psi(s)| ds + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} |\Psi(s)| ds, \\
 & \leq (1 - \beta)\epsilon + \beta \frac{a^\beta}{\beta} n\epsilon + \beta \frac{a^\beta}{\beta} \epsilon, \\
 & \leq [(1 - \beta) + (n + 1)a^\beta]\epsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence proved. □

**Theorem 3.2.** Using Lemma (3.1), solution of the said Impulsive-IFDE Eq. (1.3) is Hyer-Ullam's stable if there exists a constant

$$\Omega = \frac{(1 - \beta) + (n + 1)a^\beta}{\left(1 - K - C - (1 - \beta) - a^\beta(n + 1) \frac{L}{1-M}\right)}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $m(h) \in Y$  be any solution of Eq. (1.3) and  $\bar{m}(h) \in Y$  be unique solution of Eq. (1.3) then consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 |m(h) - \bar{m}(h)| & = |m(h) - [g(\bar{m}) + (1 - \beta)[\bar{G}(h) - G(0)] + \sum_{l=1}^k I_l(\bar{m}(h_l^-)) \\
 & \quad + \beta \sum_{l=1}^k \int_0^{h_l} (h_l - s)^{\beta-1} \bar{G}(s) ds + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} \bar{G}(s) ds],
 \end{aligned}$$

By adding and subtracting the term

$$g(m) + (1 - \beta)[G(h) - G(0)] + \sum_{l=1}^k I_l(m(h_l^-)) + \beta \sum_{l=1}^k \int_0^{h_l} (h_l - s)^{\beta-1} G(s) ds + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} G(s) ds,$$



In above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| m(h) - \left[ g(m) + (1 - \beta)[G(h) - G(0)] + \sum_{l=1}^k I_l(m(h_l^-)) + \beta \sum_{l=1}^k \int_0^{h_l} (h_l - s)^{\beta-1} G(s) ds + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} G(s) ds \right] \right. \\ & + \left[ g(m) + (1 - \beta)[G(h) - G(0)] + \sum_{l=1}^k I_l(m(h_l^-)) + \beta \sum_{l=1}^k \int_0^{h_l} (h_l - s)^{\beta-1} G(s) ds + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} G(s) ds \right] \\ & \left. - \left[ g(\bar{m}) + (1 - \beta)[\bar{G}(h) - G(0)] + \sum_{l=1}^k I_l(\bar{m}(h_l^-)) + \beta \sum_{l=1}^k \int_0^{h_l} (h_l - s)^{\beta-1} \bar{G}(s) ds + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} \bar{G}(s) ds \right] \right|, \end{aligned}$$

From Eq. (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \left[ (1 - \beta) + (n + 1)a^\beta \right] \epsilon + |g(m) - g(\bar{m})| + (1 - \beta)|G(h) - \bar{G}(h)| \\ & + \sum_{l=1}^k |I_l(m(h_l^-)) - I_l(\bar{m}(h_l^-))| + \beta \sum_{l=1}^k \int_0^{h_l} (h_l - s)^{\beta-1} |G(s) - \bar{G}(s)| ds \\ & + \beta \int_0^h (h - s)^{\beta-1} |G(s) - \bar{G}(s)| ds, \end{aligned}$$

Taking maximum and using assumptions  $A_3$  and  $A_4$ , Eq. (2.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \left[ (1 - \beta) + (n + 1)a^\beta \right] \epsilon + K|m - \bar{m}| + \frac{L(1 - \beta)}{1 - M}|m - \bar{m}| \\ & + C|m - \bar{m}| + \frac{\beta a^\beta n L}{\beta(1 - M)}|m - \bar{m}| + \frac{\beta a^\beta L}{\beta(1 - M)}|m - \bar{m}|, \end{aligned}$$

After simplifying, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|m - \bar{m}\|_{PC} & \leq \left[ (1 - \beta) + (n + 1)a^\beta \right] \epsilon + \left( K + C + (1 - \beta) + a^\beta(n + 1) \frac{L}{1 - M} \right) \|m - \bar{m}\|_{PC}, \\ \|m - \bar{m}\|_{PC} & \leq \frac{\left[ (1 - \beta) + (n + 1)a^\beta \right] \epsilon}{\left( 1 - K - C - (1 - \beta) - a^\beta(n + 1) \frac{L}{1 - M} \right)}, \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\|m - \bar{m}\|_{PC} \leq \Omega \epsilon$ . Hence the solution is H-U stable.  $\square$

#### 4. EXAMPLES

This section verifies the validity of the obtained results through the use of illustrations. We provide two examples in detail to validate our obtained results in the above sections.

**Example 4.1.** Consider the following Impulsive-IFDE

$$\begin{aligned} {}_0^{AB}D_h^{\frac{1}{2}}m(h) & = \frac{2 + |m(h)| + |{}_0^{AB}D_h^{\frac{1}{2}}m(h)|}{102e^{h+3}(1 + |m(h)| + |{}_0^{AB}D_h^{\frac{1}{2}}m(h)|)}, h \in [0, 1], h \neq h_k, \\ \Delta m\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) & = \frac{|m(\frac{1}{3}^-)|}{77 + |m(\frac{1}{3}^-)|}, \\ m(0) & = \frac{|m(h)|}{15}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$



Observe that  $n = 1, \beta = \frac{1}{2}, h_1 = \frac{1}{3}, {}^{AB}D_{\frac{1}{3}}^{\frac{1}{2}}m(h) = G(h), a = 1, g(m) = \frac{|m(h)|}{15}$   
 Let

$$G(h, m(h), G(h)) = \frac{2 + |m(h)| + |G(h)|}{102e^{h+3}(1 + |m(h)| + |G(h)|)},$$

$$I_1\left(m\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\right) = \frac{|m(\frac{1}{3}^-)|}{77 + |m(\frac{1}{3}^-)|}.$$

Here  $G$  and  $g$  both are continuous function. Hence  $A_1$  assumption hold. Next let  $m(h), \bar{m}(h) \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $h \in [0, 1]$ . Consider

$$|G(h, m(h), G(h)) - G(h, \bar{m}(h), \bar{G}(h))| = \left| \frac{2 + |m(h)| + |G(h)|}{102e^{h+3}(1 + |m(h)| + |G(h)|)} - \frac{2 + |\bar{m}(h)| + |\bar{G}(h)|}{102e^{h+3}(1 + |\bar{m}(h)| + |\bar{G}(h)|)} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{102e^3} \left( |m(h) - \bar{m}(h)| + |G(h) - \bar{G}(h)| \right),$$

Hence  $L = \frac{1}{102e^3} > 0, 0 < M = \frac{1}{102e^3} < 1$ . Hence assumption  $A_2$  hold. Now, next we find

$$\left| I_1\left(m\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\right) - I_1\left(\bar{m}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\right) \right| = \left| \frac{|m(\frac{1}{3}^-)|}{77 + |m(\frac{1}{3}^-)|} - \frac{|\bar{m}(\frac{1}{3}^-)|}{77 + |\bar{m}(\frac{1}{3}^-)|} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{77} \left| m\left(\frac{1}{3}^- \right) - \bar{m}\left(\frac{1}{3}^- \right) \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{77} |m - \bar{m}|.$$

Here  $0 < C = \frac{1}{77} < 1$ . Therefore, assumption  $A_3$  also holds. Clearly, assumption  $A_4$  also holds since  $0 < K = \frac{1}{15} < 1$ . Next, we find  $P, Q, R$  for this consider

$$|G(h, m(h), G(h))| = \left| \frac{2 + |m(h)| + |G(h)|}{102e^{h+3}(1 + |m(h)| + |G(h)|)} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{102e^{h+3}} \left( \left| 2 + |m(h)| + |G(h)| \right| \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{51e^3} + \frac{1}{102e^3} |m(h)| + \frac{1}{102e^3} |G(h)|.$$

Hence  $P = \frac{1}{51e^3} > 0, 0 < Q = \frac{1}{102e^3} < 1, R = \frac{1}{102e^3} > 0$ . Also, assumption  $A_5$  hold. Continuing in this way, we can find  $K^* = \frac{1}{15} > 0$ . Hence  $A_5$  also holds. Hence all the assumptions holds. Consider the condition of Theorem 2.3

$$\left[ K + C + (1 - \beta + (n + 1)a^\beta) \frac{L}{1 - M} \right] = \left[ \frac{1}{15} + \frac{1}{77} + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} + 2 \right) \frac{\frac{1}{102e^3}}{1 - \frac{1}{102e^3}} \right],$$

$$= 0.0808745 < 1.$$

Which is also true. Hence using Theorem 2.3, problem (4.1) has unique solution. Additionally, consider

$$\left( K + \frac{L(1 - \beta)}{1 - M} + C \right) = \left( \frac{1}{15} + \frac{\frac{1}{102e^3} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \right)}{1 - \frac{1}{102e^3}} + \frac{1}{77} \right) = 0.7989 < 1.$$

Hence by Theorem 2.4, there exist at least one solution to the given impulsive problem (4.1) on  $[0, 1]$ . Lastly, we will verify the stability results for the given impulsive problem (4.1). Consider the condition of Theorem



3.2.

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega &= \frac{(1 - \beta) + (n + 1)a^\beta}{\left(1 - K - C - (1 - \beta) - a^\beta(n + 1)\frac{L}{1-M}\right)}, \\ &= \left(\frac{(1 - \frac{1}{2}) + 2}{1 - \frac{1}{15} - \frac{1}{77} - (1 - \frac{1}{2}) - 2\frac{\frac{1}{102e^3}}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{102e^3}\right)}}\right), \\ &= 5.96132.\end{aligned}$$

Which is constant. Hence, the given problem (4.1) is H-U stable.

**Example 4.2.** Consider the following Impulsive-IFDE

$$\begin{aligned}{}_0^{AB}D_h^{\frac{1}{2}}m(h) &= \frac{1}{25} + \frac{\sin(m(h)) + \sin({}_0^{AB}D_h^{\frac{1}{2}}m(h))}{45 + h^3}, \quad h \in [0, 1], \\ \Delta m\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) &= \frac{e^{m(\frac{1}{2})}}{75}, \\ m(0) &= \frac{\cos|m|}{20}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

Observe that  $n = 1$ ,  $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $h_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  ${}_0^{AB}D_h^{\frac{1}{2}}m(h) = G(h)$ ,  $a = 1$ ,  $g(m) = \frac{\cos|m|}{20}$ .

Let

$$G(t, m(h), G(h)) = \frac{1}{25} + \frac{\sin(m(h)) + \sin({}_0^{AB}D_h^{\frac{1}{2}}m(h))}{45 + h^3}.$$

Here,  $G$  and  $g$  both are continuous function. Hence,  $A_1$  assumption hold. Now, let  $m(h), \bar{m}(h) \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 \leq h \leq 1$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned}|G(h, m(h), G(h)) - G(h, \bar{m}(h), \bar{G}(h))| &= \left|\frac{1}{25} + \frac{\sin(m(h)) + \sin({}_0^{AB}D_h^{\frac{1}{2}}m(h))}{45 + h^3}\right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{25} - \frac{\sin(\bar{m}(h)) + \sin({}_0^{AB}D_h^{\frac{1}{2}}\bar{m}(h))}{45 + h^3}\right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{46} \left(|m(h) - \bar{m}(h)| + |G(h) - \bar{G}(h)|\right),\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $L = \frac{1}{46} > 0$ ,  $0 < M = \frac{1}{46} < 1$ . Hence assumption  $A_2$  hold. Now, next we find

$$\begin{aligned}\left|I_1\left(m\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) - I_1\left(\bar{m}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)\right| &= \left|\frac{e^{m(\frac{1}{2})}}{75} - \frac{e^{\bar{m}(\frac{1}{2})}}{75}\right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{75}|m(h) - \bar{m}(h)|.\end{aligned}$$

Here  $0 < C = \frac{1}{75} < 1$ . Therefore, assumption  $A_3$  also holds. Clearly, assumption  $A_4$  also holds since  $0 < K = \frac{1}{20} < 1$ . Next, we find  $P, Q, R$  for this consider

$$\begin{aligned}|G(h, m(h), G(h))| &= \left|\frac{1}{25} + \frac{\sin(m(h)) + \sin({}_0^{AB}D_h^{\frac{1}{2}}m(h))}{45 + h^3}\right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{25} + \frac{1}{46}|m(h)| + \frac{1}{46}|G(h)|.\end{aligned}$$



Hence  $P = \frac{1}{25} > 0, 0 < Q = \frac{1}{46} < 1, R = \frac{1}{46} > 0$ . Also assumption  $A_5$  hold. Continuing in this way, we can find  $K^* = \frac{1}{20} > 0$ . Hence  $A_5$  also holds. Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ K + C + (1 - \beta + (n + 1)a^\beta) \frac{L}{1 - M} \right] &= \left[ \frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{75} + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} + 2 \right) \frac{\frac{1}{46}}{1 - \frac{1}{46}} \right], \\ &= 0.3411 < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Theorem 2.3, the given problem (4.2) has unique solution. Further, consider

$$\begin{aligned} \left( K + \frac{L(1 - \beta)}{1 - M} + C \right) &= \left( \frac{1}{20} + \frac{\frac{1}{46} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \right)}{1 - \frac{1}{46}} + \frac{1}{75} \right) \\ &= 0.07444 < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Theorem 2.4, existence of solution to the problem (4.2) on  $[0, 1]$  is proved.

Lastly, we will verify the stability results for the given impulsive problem (4.2). Consider the condition of Theorem 3.2.

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= \frac{(1 - \beta) + (n + 1)a^\beta}{\left( 1 - K - C - (1 - \beta) - a^\beta(n + 1) \frac{L}{1 - M} \right)} \\ &= \left( \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{2}) + 2}{1 - \frac{1}{20} - \frac{1}{75} - (1 - \frac{1}{2}) - 2 \frac{\frac{1}{46}}{\left( 1 - \frac{1}{46} \right)}} \right) \\ &= 6.3739. \end{aligned}$$

Which is constant. Hence, the given problem (4.2) is H-U stable.

## 5. CONCLUSION

In this study, using the Atangana-Baleanu derivative operator, important conditions are established for the existence and uniqueness of solutions to impulsive-implicit FDE of order  $0 < \beta < 1$ . The paper well illustrates these requirements by using the Banach contraction principle and the Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem. Furthermore, for the presented equations, the research validates Hyers-Ulam stability. Robustness of the acquired results is demonstrated by providing specific examples that highlight the practical relevance and applicability of these theoretical discoveries.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author expresses gratitude to the anonymous reader for the insightful feedback and wise counsel.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. I. Abbas, *On the Hamdard and Riemann-Liouville fractional neutral functional integro-differential equations with finite delay*, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper., 10 (2019), 1–10.
- [2] A. Akgul and D. Baleanu, *Mathematical modeling of COVID-19 using Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivatives*, AlexEngJ., 60(5) (2021), 4477-4486.
- [3] A. Atangana and D. Baleanu, *New fractional derivatives with non local and non singular kernel: Theory and application to heat transfer model*, Thermal Science, 20(2) (2016), 763-769.
- [4] A. Atangana and D. Baleanu, *Caputo-Fabrizio derivative applied to groundwater flow within confined aquifer*, J.Eng.Mech., 143 (2017), p.D4016005.
- [5] D. Baleanu, A. Jajarmi, H. Mohammadi, and S. Rezapour, *A new study on the mathematical modelling of human liver with Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative.*, Chaos Solitons Fractals, 134 (2020), 109705.



- [6] D. Baleanu, S. S. Sajjadi, J. H. Asad, A. Jajarmi, and E. Estiri, *Hyper chaotic behaviors, optimal control and synchronization of a nonautonomous cardiac conduction System*, Adv.Differ.Equ., 2021 (2021), 175.
- [7] D. Baleanu, S. S. Sajjadi, A. Jajarmi, and O. Defterli, *On a nonlinear dynamical system with both chaotic and nonchaotic behaviors: A new fractional analysis and control*, Adv. Differ. Equ., 2021 (2021), 234.
- [8] D. Baleanu, S. Zibaei, M. Namjoo, and A. Jajarmi, *A nonstandard finite difference scheme for the modeling and nonidentical synchronization of a novel fractional chaotic system*, Adv. Differ. Equ., 2021 (2021), 308.
- [9] P. Borisut and S. Phiangsungnoen, *Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for the fractional differential equation involving the  $\rho(\tau)$ -Laplacian operator and nonlocal integral condition*, Mathematics., 11(16) (2023), 3525.
- [10] Eiman, K. Shah, M. Sarwar, and D. Baleanu, *Study on Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem for Caputo Fabrizio fractional differential equations*, Adv. Differ. Equ., 2020 (2020), 1–9.
- [11] H. Jassim, H. Ahmad, A. Shamaoon, and C. Cesarano, *An efficient hybrid technique for the solution of fractional-order partial differential equations*, Carpathian Mathematical Publications, 13(3) (2021), 790-804.
- [12] H. Khan, A. Khan, F. Jarad, and A. Shah, *Existence and data dependence theorems for solutions of an ABC-fractional order impulsive system*, Chaos, Solitons and Fract., 131 (2020), 109477.
- [13] S. N. Kottakaran, K. Kalimuthu, M. Mohan, R. Chokkalingam, S. Alsaeed, and S. R. Munjam, *Existence of a mild solution for a fractional impulsive differential equation of the Sobolev type including deviating argument*, Results Control Optim., 16 (2024), 100451.
- [14] S. N. Kottakaran, M. I. Al-Sharta, and F. S. Alshammari, *Existence analysis on multi-derivative nonlinear fractional neutral impulsive integro-differential equations*, Partial Differ Equ Appl Math., 11 (2024), 100839.
- [15] D. Kumar, J. Singh, and D. Baleanu, *On the analysis of vibration equation involving a fractional derivative with Mittag-Leffler law*, Math. Method. Appl. Sci., 43 (2020), 443–457.
- [16] K. Liu, J. Wang, Y. Zhou, and D. O'Regan, *Hyers-Ulam stability and existence of solutions for fractional differential equations with Mittag-Leffler kernel*, Chaos, Soliton. Fract., 132 (2020), 109534.
- [17] M. Manjula, K. Kaliraj, T. Botmart, K. S. Nisar, and C. Ravichandran, *Existence, uniqueness and approximation of nonlocal fractional differential equation of sobolev type with impulses*, AIMS Math., 8(2) (2023), 4645-4665.
- [18] Mohammed, W. Wael, C. Cesarano, and M. Al-Askar Farah, *Solutions to the  $(4+ 1)$ -dimensional time-fractional Fokas equation with  $M$ -truncated derivative.*, Mathematics, 11(1) (2022), 194.
- [19] E. J. Moore, S. Sirisubtawee, and S. Koonprasert, *A Caputo-Fabrizio fractional differential equation model for HIV/AIDS with treatment compartment*, Adv. Differ. Equ., 2019 (2019), 200.
- [20] K. M. Owolabi, *Analysis and numerical simulation of cross-reaction systems with the Caputo-Fabrizio and Riesz operators*, Numer. Meth. Part. D. E., 2021 (2021), 1–23.
- [21] K. M. Owolabi and A. Shikonogo, *Fractal fractional operator method on HER2+ and breast cancer dynamics*, Appl. Comput. Math., 7 (2021), 1–19.
- [22] O. J. Peter, A. S. Shaikh, M. O. Ibrahim, K. S. Nisar, D. Baleanu, I. Khan, and A. I. Abioye, *Analysis and dynamics of fractional order mathematical model of COVID-19 in Nigeria using atangana-baleanu operator*, (2021), 1823-1848.
- [23] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, 198 of Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1999.
- [24] K. K. Saha, N. Sukavanam, and S. Pan, *Existence and uniqueness of solutions to fractional differential equations with fractional boundary conditions*, Alexandria Eng J., 72 (2023), 147-155.
- [25] A. Shaikh, K. S. Nisar, V. Jadhav, S. K. Elagan, and M. Zakarya, *Dynamical behaviour of HIV/AIDS model using fractional derivative with Mittag-Leffler kernel*, Alexandria Engineering Journal., 4 (2022), 2601-10.
- [26] A. S. Shaikh and M. A. Sajjan, *Existence and Uniqueness of Solution of Fractional Differential Equation Using Non-local Operator*, Indian Journal of Science and Technology, 17(37) (2024), 3881-3888.
- [27] A. S. Shaikh, I. N. Shaikh, and K. S. Nisar, *A mathematical model of COVID-19 using fractional derivative: an outbreak in India with dynamics of transmission and control*, Advances in Difference Equations., 2020(1) (2020), 373.
- [28] J. Sheng, W. Jiang, D. Pang, and S. Wang, *Controllability of nonlinear fractional dynamical systems with a Mittag-Leffler kernel*, Mathematics, 8 (2020), 2139.



- [29] E. Shivanian, *On the Existence and Uniqueness of the Solution of a Nonlinear Fractional Differential Equation with Integral Boundary Condition*, J Non linear Math Phys.X, 30 (2020), 1345–1356.
- [30] T. Sitthiwiratham, R. Gul, K. Shah, I. Mahariq, J. Soontharanon, and K. J. Ansari, *Study of implicit-impulsive differential equations involving Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative*, [J]. AIMS Mathematics, 7(3) (2022), 4017-4037.
- [31] H. M. Srivastava and K. M. Saad, *New approximate solution of the time-fractional Nagumo equation involving fractional integrals without singular kernel*, Appl. Math. Inform. Sci., 14 (2020), 1-8.
- [32] S. Yang and S. Q. Zhang, *Impulsive boundary value problem for a fractional differential equation*, Boundary Value Problems, 2016(1) (2016), 203.
- [33] M. Yavuz and H. Alkan, *A new mathematical model using Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative for groundwater flow*, Chaos Solitons Fractals., 123 (2019), 80-85.

Uncorrected Proof

